CONVENTION BETWEEN HIS MAJESTY IN RESPECT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM AND HIS MAJESTY THE KING OF THE BELGIANS SUPPLEMENTARY TO THE CONVENTION OF JUNE 21, 1922, TO FACILITATE THE CONDUCT OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

His Majesty the King of Great Britain, Ireland and the British Dominions beyond the Seas, Emperor of India, and His Majesty the King of the Belgians, being desirous of supplementing the Convention concluded between them for the purpose of facilitating the conduct of legal proceedings which was signed at London on the 21st June, 1922;

Have resolved to conclude a Convention for this purpose and have appointed as their Plenipotentiaries:

His Majesty the King of Great Britain, Ireland and the British Dominions beyond the Seas, Emperor of India:

For Great Britain and Northern Ireland:

His Excellency the Right Honourable Earl Granville, his Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary at Brussels; and

His Majesty the King of the Belgians:

M. Paul Hymans, his Minister of Foreign Affairs;

who, having communicated their full powers, found in good and due form, have agreed as follows:

I.—Preliminary

Article 1

In this Convention the words:

(1) "territory of one (or of the other) High Contracting Party" shall be interpreted as meaning at any time any of the territories of such High Contracting Party to which the Convention at that time applies;

(2) "subject of one (or of the other) High Contracting Party" shall be deemed—

(*a*) in relation to His Majesty the King of Great Britain, Ireland and the British Dominions beyond the Seas, Emperor of India, to mean all subjects of His Majesty wherever domiciled, and shall include all persons under His Majesty's protection, and

(*b*) in relation to His Majesty the King of the Belgians to mean all subjects of His Majesty and the nationals of the Belgian Congo and of Ruanda-Urundi.

II

Article 2

Security for Costs

The subjects of one High Contracting Party resident in the territory of the other shall not be obliged to give security for costs in any case where the subjects of the latter High Contracting Party would not be so obliged in similar circumstances.