

ber of the Journal, where he asks if there is not danger of estrangement when we are separated in different buildings? From a recent number of the Journal we further learn that a returned graduate frankly admitted that the old "Queen's spirit" had already suffered decline. Perhaps a concrete example will show this decline in unity more clearly. The seniors in arts, science, and medicine all joined together in "getting up" their At Home. The juniors in arts and science are organized as one year and so both took part in the '05 At Home. The sophomores in arts and science and medicine are all organized separately, yet the science and arts students manage to get together in selecting a year pin and in getting up their annual At Home. The freshmen are organized separately, and did not come to a common agreement in selecting a year pin, nor in "getting up" their At Home. The decline is quite obvious viz: seniors—unity of three faculties; juniors—complete unity of two faculties; sophomores—looser unity of two, and freshmen—no unity. The thin end of the wedge is already in. Are we going to stand idly by, and let it be driven home?

Let us then face the facts boldly (for facts are stubborn things) and see if there is not some remedy—not some opportune action—"which taken at the flood leads on to fortune". The obvious need of our new and present condition of separation in different buildings is more contact—more knowledge of each other. To accomplish this end, the sister year organizations of the various faculties should amalgamate. Besides giving

us more intercourse with each other, this joining together would be of mutual advantage in many other ways. In the inter-year debates, hockey matches, rugby matches and contests in track athletics all the years suffer from lack of unity (for unity is strength). Instances could be shown where one of two individuals (with little knowledge of the "material" in the other two faculties) picked the teams for these inter-year contests. Another advantage of unity would be in preparing programmes for year meetings owing to the increased amount of "material" available.

Not only should the various sister years unite, but the various courts should be united. One court, under the supervision of the A.M.S., would be more advisable than the present system of three courts, in many ways:

1st. Unity of faculties would be increased.

2nd. Sufficient "cases" would come up each year to justify its existence.

3rd. The junior judge fines could be eliminated altogether, for there would be no longer any necessity for this spurious auxiliary to justice in order to fill out a night's programme.

4th. More uniform justice would be meted out, for no longer could a student, guilty of an offence directly concerning the students of another faculty, hide behind the students of his own faculty and thus escape unscathed.

Will some venerable post-mortem or sturdy senior (one of those who demonstrated their unity in their At Home) not arise like a Walpole of old, and step into the breach? Who then will bring the matter before the