It is an often reiterated accusation against the
Papal Government that the Patrimony of Peter Papal Government that the Patrimony of Peter
is governed almost exclusively by enclesiastics is goversed almost exclusively by ecclestastics.
Well! as a matter of theory, we are not quite sure, but that it would perbaps be better after ail for Eugland to be ruled by Frencimen, and France by Eaglishmen, just as it is urged that
the States of the Church, should be ruled by aymen. "England for the English!""France for the French!" "Ireland for the Irish !" "The States of the Church for eccle-
slastics " is only an European form of American Know-Nothingism. Could it be accoupplished with any degree of facility, we are not sure, but drise a liberal spriakling of Frenctunen bein aperadded to the English Houses ol Parlia ment. A few French Barons and Counts for least give our English House of Lords a less cook, a fety Russian ralets and perhaps by way $f$ rarrety in colour, a nigger barber would add frst umprove the prestige of "' our faithful Coramons." Nationality is poltical bigotry, and bigotry, whether religious or political, is equally, ad it would certainly be a somewhat broad viem to adrocate the opening of our British Houses of Frenchmen. So with the States of the Cburch -a theocracy should certainly by all means be We know that all this is as pet only theory, and theorres are cuangerous things.
they break no bones; but then they fill no present state of the markets is matter for grave bis platio and unsophisticated age of ours by facts and not by theorres that we live; and facts they say are stubbora things; and the fact as far
as the Papal government is concerged appears to a most particularly slubborn thing ; in as much site to what its veracijus opponents as partina One wulld thank that that venerable old lady -Mrs. Brtannia, who so approringly allows tones at the Papal windows, could certanaly have no plate g!ass of her own. "Physician cure pronty of home over foreign duties. The recept.when be said "Let him who is guiltes cast the first stone." Can Eugland assert her ment? We think not, and we shall altempt to prore it. For how does the case stand as be-
treen Ireland (excuse us the unpleasant allusion 1) and the Papal States? "Comparisons asefui to the truth, however odious to Mrs. B. How then does the case stand? In Rome oreras. Can his be said of Ireland's Quee anstincts and aspirations as the majority which
pe governs. Io Ireland her chief Ruler is the Head of a Church inimical to and protesting against, and haring nothing in common with the
Church of an orerwhelming majo.ty. And i nodeed it be made a reproach aganet the Pope cclesiastical ruler-is ont Queen Victoria also se Eugland's Queen? It is surely bardiy fair in Englisbmen to blame the Pope for being
Italy, what their own Victoria is to England. Ialy, what their own Verictoria is to England, beart ; it is paternal i therr weel is its weel shame be it written, "I Iish Governors zoosh the utter ouin of that wohich they cannot redress.
Pity it is that Crommell began his process of xtermination (i.e. of the Irish people) or that having began it
plete it." (Satarday Review, Oct. 8ib, 1866 . In Romeseall are Catholics and their religion roluatary contributions of iss cbildren. In Ire esides providing for its owa religion, has to pro ty, and to hear itself abused by hired declaimers as idolatrous, and ignorant, bud semi-barbarous a Cat. In Rome the subscriptions of the whole on thousands are annually drained from a stary ing people to keep in splendour and affluence and luxury a pampered, an absent, an
ungrateful and an alien lacdlordry
Now as to the exclusirely ecclesiastical char-
acter of the Papal Government. In Rome the ans of state is composed of eight per are laymen. In Gatholic Ireland the Lord Lieutenant is a Protestant, the Chef Secretary of State is a, Protestant; and in the whote Com-
mons of Englard, in whose hands is held the fate of Ireland for weel or woe, there ara bul
wenty nine Cathokic members,-all told. But we bave neither patience (nor perbaps space)
to conturue the disheartenang contrest. In
 Can England point, in Calaolic Irreiand, to a
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INSOLVENT ACT OF 1864, AND ITS AMENDMENTS.
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