

abstract legal problems, but concrete problems—what is the best thing to do—which involves a knowledge of business usages and of the practical affairs of life.

“Successful lawyers are hard-working machines, and unless they have a good physical constitution they will fail of eminent success. No lawyer can succeed, or long succeed, unless in addition to the requisite intellectual qualities, he has also the requisite moral qualities.

“Integrity in the broadest sense, as well as in the most delicate sense of the term, is an indispensable condition to success in the law. Intellectual qualifications, fitness and integrity will not alone insure success. The successful lawyer must also have industrious habits. The successful lawyer is the lawyer who works and toils. He must have a genius for work. These are fundamental conditions. But all these exist and yet fail to bring any marked success, because success comes from a happy combination of physical and intellectual qualities, including will, power of decision, moral qualities, integrity and saving common sense, so that the advice which the lawyer gives shall be seen to be wise; that is, the advice he gives shall be practically demonstrated to be wise, as shown in the results. The modern client wants good results.”

GENERAL NOTES.

A FRONTIER JUDGE.—“One of the best ‘classics’ I ever knew, James Reilly, was through many years dependent on his muscles, not his brains,” writes the author of “Here and There Memories.” When he graduated from Dublin University he found himself a pauper—his guardian having robbed him. He went to the United States and served as trapper, navy, farm-hand and frontier judge. He could make a piano, set a limb, grind an axe, splice a rope, mend shoes, plait a sieve, quote from the Greek poets, classify a bug, explain the binomial theorem, or fix the relation of two fossil fragments. His most cherished accomplishment was being able to lift a blacksmith’s anvil by his little finger hooked in the “eye” of the iron; his proudest recollection, that he had been an effective judge. Of his judgeship he told this story:—“I had just been elected judge there. A fellow, up for horse-stealing, consented to be tried by six jurors, as most of the men were off to a new gold-digging. Well, I summed up; the jury retired. I waited outside a long time, but the jury