

And the Question being put on the amendment to the said proposed amendment; the House divided: and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow:—

YEAS:

Messieurs

<i>Abbott,</i>	<i>Duckett,</i>	<i>Laframboise,</i>	<i>Poupore,</i>
<i>Ault,</i>	<i>Dufresne (Iberville),</i>	<i>Langevin,</i>	<i>Powell,</i>
<i>Biggar,</i>	<i>Dufresne (Montcalm),</i>	<i>LeBoutillier,</i>	<i>Rankin,</i>
<i>Bourassa,</i>	<i>Dunkin,</i>	<i>Macdonald, Atty. Gen.</i>	<i>Raymond,</i>
<i>Bowman,</i>	<i>Dunsford,</i>	<i>Macdonald (Tor' to W.)</i>	<i>Remillard,</i>
<i>Brousseau,</i>	<i>Ferguson (S. Simcoe),</i>	<i>Magill,</i>	<i>Ross (Dundas),</i>
<i>Cameron (Peel),</i>	<i>Fortier,</i>	<i>Mc Conkey,</i>	<i>Scatcherd,</i>
<i>Carling,</i>	<i>Gagnon,</i>	<i>McDougall,</i>	<i>Scoble,</i>
<i>Caron,</i>	<i>Galt,</i>	<i>McGee,</i>	<i>Smith (East Durham),</i>
<i>Cartier, Atty. Gen.,</i>	<i>Gaucher,</i>	<i>McKellar,</i>	<i>Smith (Toronto East),</i>
<i>Cartwright,</i>	<i>Gaudet,</i>	<i>Morris,</i>	<i>Somerville,</i>
<i>Cauchon,</i>	<i>Gibbs,</i>	<i>Munro,</i>	<i>Street,</i>
<i>Chapais,</i>	<i>Harwood,</i>	<i>Oliver,</i>	<i>Tremblay,</i>
<i>Cockburn,</i>	<i>Haultain,</i>	<i>Pâquet,</i>	<i>Webb,</i>
<i>Cornellier,</i>	<i>Higginson,</i>	<i>Parker,</i>	<i>Wells,</i>
<i>Coupal,</i>	<i>Holton,</i>	<i>Perrault,</i>	<i>White,</i>
<i>De Boucherville,</i>	<i>Irvine,</i>	<i>Pinsonneault,</i>	<i>Willson and</i>
<i>De Niverville,</i>	<i>Jackson,</i>	<i>Pope,</i>	<i>Wood.—75.</i>
<i>Dickson,</i>	<i>Labrèche-Viger,</i>	<i>Pouliot,</i>	

NAYS:

Messieurs

<i>Archambeault,</i>	<i>Denis,</i>	<i>Macdonald (Gleng'ry),</i>	<i>Shanly,</i>
<i>Beaubien,</i>	<i>Ferguson (Frontenac),</i>	<i>Macfarlane,</i>	<i>Stirton,</i>
<i>Bellerose,</i>	<i>Geoffrion,</i>	<i>Mackenzie,</i>	<i>Thompson,</i>
<i>Blanchet,</i>	<i>Houde,</i>	<i>O'Halloran,</i>	<i>Wallbridge (N. Hast's),</i>
<i>Burwell,</i>	<i>Jones (South Leeds),</i>	<i>Poulin,</i>	<i>Walsh, and</i>
<i>Cameron (N. Ontario),</i>	<i>Macdonald (Cormo'l),</i>	<i>Rymal,</i>	<i>Wright (E. York)—25.</i>
<i>Cowan,</i>			

So it was resolved in the Affirmative.

And the Question being put on the amendment to the original Question, as amended; the House divided:—And it was resolved in the Affirmative.

Then the main Question, so amended, being put;

Ordered, That the said *Elzéar Gérin Lajoie* be called to the Bar, and there reprimanded by Mr. Speaker for the said breach of privilege, and be committed to the custody of the Serjeant-at-Arms, during the pleasure of this House.

And Mr. *Elzéar Gérin Lajoie*, being again called to the Bar, received a reprimand from Mr. Speaker.

The Reprimand is as followeth:—

Mr. *Gérin Lajoie*—It is a power incidental to the constitution of this House to preserve peace and order within its precincts, and protect the Members of it from insults and assault. This power is necessary, not only to insure the freedom of action of Members, but that freedom of discussion which is one of their fundamental rights.

You, *Elzéar Gérin Lajoie*, pretending a cause of complaint against a Member of this House, sought him out, and came within the precincts of this Building, and within a part thereof to which you are entitled to resort—not by right, but by favor only—grossly insulted that Honorable Member, and concluded by violently assaulting him. For these gross breaches of privilege you have not even thought it judicious or becoming to offer any apology; you have mistaken your rights and position in reference to Honorable Members, and in this Building. The place in which this insult was offered and assault committed greatly aggravates the criminality of your conduct.