

interest, even by those not immediately concerned. Naturally the Mississippi valley interest, which is very powerful, will tend to cast itself into the balance on Chicago's side. This with regard to the proposed legislation. The attitude of the United States Supreme Court, on the question whether Chicago has already taken what does not belong to her, will be based on the law and on justice, presumably with due regard for the natural right of all who dwell on navigable waters to their normal flow.

No. 32.

From the Governor General to His Majesty's Ambassador at Washington

Telegram

30 A.

OTTAWA, March 6, 1924.

St. Lawrence River Improvement Scheme.

Reply to Note of Secretary of State of United States February 27th enclosed in your despatch February 28th No. 84¹ is being considered and will be forwarded shortly. It is suggested that both note and reply should be published together and it is trusted that this will be satisfactory to United States Government.

BYNG.

¹ No. 29.

No. 33.

From His Majesty's Ambassador at Washington to the Governor General

Telegram.

Secret No. 25.

WASHINGTON, March 7, 1924.

Referring to your telegram 30A.¹ Your suggestion to Secretary of State that his Note of 27th February² and your forthcoming reply should be published together is agreed to by him. Mr. Hughes requests that definite date for publication be decided upon and mentioned in despatch containing reply to his Note referred to above, in order to expedite matter.

HOWARD.

¹ No. 32.

² Enclosure in No. 29.

No. 34.

From the Governor General to His Majesty's Ambassador at Washington

No. 30.

CANADA,
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
OTTAWA, March 7, 1924.

SIR,—I have the honour to inform Your Excellency that it is desired to lay before Parliament correspondence relating to the St. Lawrence River and I shall be glad to ascertain whether there would be any objection on the part of Your Excellency to presenting copies of the following despatches which have passed between me and the Embassy:—

From His Majesty's Ambassador at Washington to the Governor General

Despatch No. 84—8th April, 1922.
Despatch No. 127—18th May 1922.
Telegram No. 12—23rd May, 1922.
Despatch No. 130—23rd May, 1922.
Cypher Telegram—5th June, 1922.
Despatch No. 157—7th June, 1922.
Despatch No. 166—10th June, 1922.
Despatch No. 172—16th June, 1922.
Despatch No. 176—16th June, 1922.
Despatch No. 224—31st July, 1922.
Despatch No. 68—27th February, 1923.
Despatch No. 413—19th November, 1923.
Despatch No. 6—11th January, 1924.
Despatch No. 39—30th January, 1924.
Cypher Telegram
No. 9—1st February, 1924.
Despatch No. 44—4th February, 1924.
Cypher Telegram
No. 11—5th February, 1924.
Despatch No. 52—7th February, 1924.
Despatch No. 84—28th February, 1924.

From the Governor General to His Majesty's Ambassador at Washington

Telegram —29th May, 1922.
Despatch No. 82 —30th May, 1922.
Despatch No. 6 —22nd January, 1923.
Telegram No. 3A—12th January, 1924.
Telegram No. 6A—23rd January, 1924.
Despatch No. 13 —25th January, 1924.
Telegram No. 14A—4th February, 1924.

I have, etc.,

BYNG OF VIMY.

His Excellency

The Right Honourable

SIR ESME HOWARD, G.C.M.G., K.C.B., C.V.O.,
H.B.M. Ambassador at Washington.

No. 35.

From the Governor General to His Majesty's Ambassador at Washington

No. 32.

CANADA,
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
OTTAWA, March 10, 1924.

SIR,—With reference to Mr. Chilton's despatch No. 84 of the 28th February,¹ on the subject of the St. Lawrence Waterway, I have the honour to inform you that my Ministers have had under consideration the note from Mr. Secretary Hughes, dated the 27th February,² and they concur in the suggestion of the Government of the United States that two engineers be added by each Government to the Joint Engineering