of a private it should be

e any restricof it be conn to exercise * It is ave the right here between pt that these

Place (2 Atk. arity more or ld otherwise e it a public of words of

mmentaries, ate benefach dedicated ounded and hough from he character ch is extened a public e a charity ty may be tion. is public. poration to to confound and to jar ne of Lord the distincthe former

v. McKean, s the law; college case has stated and adds, arter from ors, is not ble by the overnment, is clear beyond all doubt. So the law was nderstood by Lord Holt in his celebrated judgment in Phil-

ips v. Bury (2 T. R. 346)."

He proceeds, "if we examine the charter of Bowdoin Colage, we shall find that it is a private, and not a public corporation. It answers the very description of a private college, as aid down by Chief Justice Marshall, in Dartmouth College v. Voodward. It is an eleemosynary institution, incorporated or the purpose of perpetuating the application of the bounty of the donors to the objects of that bounty. Its trustees were riginally named by the founder, and invested with the power of perpetuating themselves. They are not public officers, nor it a civil institution, but a charity school or a seminary of ducation, incorporated for the preservation of its property, and the perpetual application of that property to the objects of the creators."

It is not expressly stated in the report, but it may be inferred, that Bowdoin College had university powers to grant degrees, is in one of the by-laws it speaks of "fees for any diploma or

medical or academical degree."

Dartmouth College was, by royal charter, empowered to rant "any such degree and degrees as are usually granted in ither of the universities or any other college in Great Britain."

Queen's College is a very wide corporation, embracing all nembers and laymen of the Presbyterian Church in Canada in connection with the Church of Scotland, in full communion with said church. The government is vested in twenty-seven rustees, and all the congregations in the province admitted on the roll of the Synod may name one person, who shall be put on a list of names, from which, under certain restrictions, new rustees must be selected.

I am not prepared to hold that to this corporation we are ot to apply the rules of law referred to as governing such

institutions in the two American cases.

It rests wholly with the trustees to create the office of a prolessor, and such an office is not, as it seems to me, of the ssence of the corporation. The latter could exist without it.

If the charter were silent as to provisions for the removal of professor, I should at once hold that such an officer is removable by the trustees, and his office or situation at once by their decision be vacant, subject to any claims for salary in the usual way, if the engagement be of a yearly nature; but not subject to any jurisdiction of either a court of law or equity to restore; that the service would be of a peculiarly personal