Note: The Report of the Royal Commission on Security as originally submitted to the Governor in Council in October 1968 included some material which in this published version has been omitted or amended in the interest of national security.

These changes are of small significance in the context of the Report as a whole—

Mr. Speaker: Order. I must interrupt the minister, because he is making a statement which should normally be made on motions. I think that he should try to answer as briefly as possible the hon. member's question. If the latter wishes to put supplementaries, he could do so afterwards. If he must give a long answer, the minister should ask the consent of the House to revert to motions, in order to make a statement on which representatives of the opposition could make some comments.

Mr. Goyer: Mr. Speaker, with all due respect, the remainder of my answer will only require five seconds.

These changes are of small significance in the context of the Report as a whole, and the Royal Commissioners agree that they do not affect the substance of the Report or its recommendations.

[English]

Mr. Marshall: In order to clear up this matter, would the minister make a statement on motions and, in addition, consider asking his hon. friend from Trinity to appear before the appropriate committee with respect to the assertions he has made?

[Translation]

Mr. Goyer: Mr. Speaker, I do not intend to make further remarks on this question. However, I can inform the hon. member that the commissioner of the RCMP and the Director of Security Services have assured me that the situation is under control and, if the hon. member for Trinity (Mr. Hellyer) wants to break his oath or hide behind it to arouse suspicion, it is up to him—

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Order, please.

Hon. Robert L. Stanfield (Leader of the Opposition): Mr. Speaker, I have a related question for the Prime Minister concerning the massive security provided for the right hon. gentleman at the Liberal fund-raising dinner. I am not objecting to that, of course; I would not want to see anything happen, naturally, to my right hon. friend. But I should like to ask him whether that massive security was intended to protect him from these subversive elements or from the Liberals who were attending that dinner?

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

• (1450)

CONSUMER AFFAIRS

MOTOR CARS—REQUEST FOR ACTION ON AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION RESOLUTION SEEKING BETTER WARRANTIES

Mr. Barry Mather (Surrey-White Rock): Mr. Speaker, I should like to direct a question to the Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs. In view of the policy motion endorsed this morning by the million-member Canadian

Inquiries of the Ministry

Automobile Association urging action at the federal level to protect consumers of Canadian motor vehicles with better warranties at the workmanship and parts levels, will the minister give early consideration to this request?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs): Mr. Speaker, I thank the hon. member for the notice of his question which I received just after coming into the House. I have not had an opportunity to see the policy statement to which he referred, but I will examine it and, to the degree that federal jurisdiction does apply, I will be most interested in pursuing the suggestion therein.

GRAIN

PURCHASE OF HOPPER CARS BY GOVERNMENT— ASSURANCE THAT COST WILL NOT BE CHARGED TO FARMERS

Mr. Craig Stewart (Marquette): Mr. Speaker, my question arises from the reply of the Prime Minister to the hon. member for Edmonton Centre. I should like to ask the minister responsible for the Canadian Wheat Board whether he can assure the House that no part of the cost of the 2,000 hopper cars for grain movement will be met out of the farmer's Wheat Board account?

Hon. Otto Lang (Minister of Justice): Yes, Mr. Speaker, that is what the Prime Minister said.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

UNCTAD MEETING—REASONS FOR NEGATIVE VOTES AND ABSTENTIONS BY CANADA ON CERTAIN MOTIONS

Mr. Heath Macquarrie (Hillsborough): Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the minister I should like to direct this question to the Parliamentary Secretary to the Secretary of State for External Affairs. It concerns the recent UNCTAD conference and the position of the Canadian delegation which has been described by Canadian church observers there as non-committal, cynical, tightfisted and disruptive. Can he advise why, in respect of the motions put forward by developing countries to assist their economies, Canada found it necessary to vote against nine and abstain on four of these important resolutions?

[Translation]

INDIAN AFFAIRS

JAMES BAY HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT—RESULT OF MEETING BETWEEN MINISTER AND PREMIER BOURASSA

Mr. Roch La Salle (Joliette): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development.

In view of his intended meeting last Thursday with the premier of Quebec for discussions concerning the James