Questions

APPLICATIONS OF MINING AND PROCESSING COMPANIES UNDER REVIEW—LABOUR (STANDARDS) CODE, PART 1

Question No. 1,355—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. How many applications of Mining and Processing Companies who applied for deferment and for suspension of Part 1 of the Canada Labour (Standards) Code are still under review?

2. When were these applications made to the department?

3. How many workers are covered by each of these applications?

4. What are the reasons for the applications for deferment still being under review by the department?

5. What is the date when the department expects to have settled all these cases which are under review?

Hon. Bryce Mackasey (Minister of Labour):

1. None.

2. Not applicable.

3. Not applicable.

4. Not applicable.

5. Not applicable.

APPLICATIONS OF SHIPPING (INCLUDING FERRIES) COMPANIES UNDER REVIEW—LABOUR (STANDARDS) CODE—PART I

Question No. 1,357—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. How many applications of Shipping (including Ferries) Companies who applied for deferment and for suspension of Part I of the Canada Labour (Standards) Code are still under review?

2. When were these applications made to the department?

3. How many workers are covered by each of these applications?

4. What are the reasons for the applications for deferment still being under review by the department?

5. What is the date when the department expects to have settled all these cases which are under review?

Hon. Bryce Mackasey (Minister of Labour):

1. None.

2. Not applicable.

3. Not applicable.

4. Not applicable.

5. Not applicable.

APPLICATIONS OF STEVEDORE AND LONGSHORE COMPANIES UNDER REVIEW—LABOUR (STANDARDS) CODE, PART I

Question No. 1,358—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. How many applications of Stevedore and Longshore Companies who applied for deferment and for suspension of Part I of the Canada Labour (Standards) Code are still under review?

[Mr. Mackasey.]

2. When were these applications made to the department?

3. How many workers are covered by each of these applications?

4. What are the reasons for the applications for deferment still being under review by the department?

5. What is the date when the department expects to have settled all these cases which are under review?

Hon. Bryce Mackasey (Minister of Labour):

1. None.

2. Not applicable.

3. Not applicable.

4. Not applicable.

5. Not applicable.

STABILIZATION OF POTATO INDUSTRY

Question No. 1,371—**Mr. MacDonald (Egmont):**

1. Did the Government of Canada receive a unanimous Resolution from the Legislature of Prince Edward Island with respect to stabilizing the potato industry and to establishing a programme to this end?

2. When was this communication received?

3. What was the response of the Government?

4. What report on these matters has been made to the Legislature of Prince Edward Island?

Hon. H. A. Olson (Minister of Agriculture):

1. Yes.

2. April 17, 1969.

3. The Prime Minister replied by letter April 24, 1969, to the speaker of the Legislature of Prince Edward Island, advising that a starch diversion program had been authorized under the Agricultural stabilization Act.

4. Not known.

CLOSURE OF POST OFFICES SINCE 1968

Question No. 1,373—**Mr. Korchinski:**

1. How many post offices, in each province, have been closed from 1968 to date?

2. How many post offices, in each province, are scheduled for closing in 1970?

3. How many post offices in each province, are in existence where the revenue is below expenditures?

4. How many post offices, in each province, do not derive sufficient revenue to offset costs but are maintained because of isolation?

5. In how many post offices that have been closed in each province, have there been either salary increases or increased payments for rental or other services within the past two years?

Hon. Eric W. Kierans (Postmaster General and Minister of Communications): 1. Nfld.,