Division

Cowan McLean (Charlotte) Crossman McMillan McNulty Cyr Danforth McWilliam Davis Madill Martin (Timmins) Deachman Matheson Denis Matte Deschatelets Millar Dinsdale Mitchell Doucett Monteith Douglas Drouin Moore Emard Moreau Eudes Morison Muir (Cape Breton Fairweather North and Victoria) Fane Muir (Lisgar) Favreau

Mullally Fisher Munro Forbes Nasserden Forest Neshitt. Forgie Foy Nicholson Francis Noble Garland O'Keefe Gelber Olson Gendron Orlikow Ormiston Godin Grafftey Otto Ouellet Granger Pascoe Grav Greene Paul Pepin Groos Pickersgill Gundlock Habel Pilon Hahn Prittie Haidasz Pugh Hales Rapp Hamilton Ricard

Richard

Rideout

Rinfret

Rochon

Rouleau

Simpson

Stefanson

Stenson

Tardif Teillet

Temple Thompson

Tucker

Turner

Walker

Watson (Assiniboia)

Watson (Chateauguay-

Huntingdon-Laprairie)

Wahn

Webb

Webster

Weichel

Whelan

Winkler

Woolliams-172.

Winch

Rock

Rvan

Sauve

Smith Southam

Starr

Robichaud

Honey
Howe (WellingtonHuron)
Irvine
Jewett, Miss
Jorgenson
Kelly
Kennedy
Kindt

Harley

Hellyer

Herridge

Hays

Klein

Knowles

Lachance
Laing
LaMarsh, Miss
Lamb
Lamontagne
Laniel
Laverdiere
Leboe

Loiselle Loney Macaluso Macdonald MacInnis MacLean (Queens) MacNaught MacRae

McCutcheon

McIntosh

NAYS Messrs:

Beaule Dionne
Belanger Gauthier
Boutin Gregoire
Caouette Laprise
Clancy Latulippe

[Mr. Deputy Speaker.]

Perron Plourde Rheaume Rondeau—14.

Mr. Asselin (Notre Dame de Grace): I was paired. Had I voted, I would have voted to sustain the ruling of the Chair.

And the house having resumed in committee:

Mr. Woolliams: Mr. Chairman, I am very happy to take part in this debate tonight, particularly after I was accused by one of the ministers of the crown of establishing Liberal policy. If that is true, of course, there is one happy aspect to it and that is that I can always withdraw it tomorrow morning.

Seriously, though, there is no difficulty in a Conservative rising in parliament to support an increase in pensions for our senior citizens from \$65 to \$75 per month, since it was the Progressive Conservatives moved an amendment to the resolution presented by the Minister of National Health and Welfare concerning contributory pensions which was introduced by that lady on July 18 last. We moved an amendment on July 18. I was rather amazed to hear the statement made by the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre, who spoke for almost his allotted time advocating a pension increase and who said, let us get on with the job. His main theme seemed to be that it did not matter whether the Liberals had changed their minds or had followed their policy of retreat or their policy of capitulation. The fact was that they had indicated they were going to increase this pension, as we are attempting to do at the moment, and that was good enough.

However, the senior citizens of this country have now been aware of the fact that the official opposition has a very important function to perform in parliament. It is because of the 96 Conservative members that we are witnessing the change of mind of the Liberal party tonight.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear.

Mr. Woolliams: The senior citizens of Canada know tonight that it is because of the stand the 96 Conservatives took on July 18 last that they are getting an increase in pensions as promised to the Canadian people by the Liberals when they were seeking to obtain a mandate from the people.

Mr. Martin (Timmins): There have not been 96 Conservatives in the house since the last election.

Mr. Woolliams: Well, that may be true; but there is one thing of which I am sure, when the hon. member says there have not been any Conservatives in the house since the last election, and that is that there are certainly a lot of socialists around this House of Commons.