

On the same day was filed the following demurrer:—
 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF
 ALASKA.

UNITED STATES

vs.

MUNZIE & Co. AND SCHOONER "CAROLINA."

Demurrer.

The demurrer of Munzie & Co., claimants of the property proceeded against in the above cause to the amended information filed herein.

1st. The said claimant by protestation, not confessing all or any of the matters in said amended information contained to be true, demurs thereto and says that the said matters in manner and form, as the same are in said information stated and set forth, are not sufficient in law for the United States to have and maintain their said action for the forfeiture of the property aforesaid.

2nd. The said claimants by protestation deny that this court has jurisdiction to determine or try the question hereby put in issue.

3rd. And that said claimants are not bound in law to answer the same.

Wherefore the said claimants pray that the said information may be dismissed with costs.

W. CLARK AND D. A. DINGLEY, *Proctors for Claimants.*

Which was overruled by the court,

On the same day was filed the following answer:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF
 ALASKA.

UNITED STATES

vs.

MUNZIE & Co. AND SCHOONER "CAROLINA."

Answer.

The answer of Munzie & Co., owners and claimants of the said schooner "Carolina," her tackle, apparel, furniture and cargo, as the same are set forth in the information filed herein in behalf of the United States.

And now come Munzie & Co., claimants as aforesaid and for answer to said information against the said schooner "Carolina," her tackle, apparel and cargo, as set forth in said information, says that the said tackle, apparel and cargo, as set forth in the information mentioned, did not, nor did any part thereof, become forfeited in manner and form as in said information in that behalf alleged, or at all.

Wherefore, the said claimants pray that said information be dismissed with costs to these claimants attached.

W. CLARK AND D. A. DINGLEY, *Proctors for Claimants.*

On 22nd day of September, 1886, were filed the following exceptions to answer:—

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF ALASKA, UNITED
 STATES OF AMERICA.

UNITED STATES

vs.

THE SCHOONER "CAROLINA"—No. 51.

The said libellant hereby excepts to the sufficiency of the defendant's answer herein, on the following grounds:—