50-90% (high-sulphur coal)

50–90% (low-sulphur coal)

Below 50%

 Limestone scrubbing (with physical coal cleaning where upper limit on SO<sub>2</sub> emission applies)

2. Fluidized-bed combustion<sup>a</sup>

3. Chemical coal cleaning

 Low-sulphur fuel substitution (not a sulphur removal process)

 Limestone injected through modified burner<sup>b</sup>

1. Spray drier process

2. Limestone scrubbing

1. Physical coal cleaning

2. Blending with low-sulphur coal

<sup>a</sup>When and if developed.

<sup>b</sup>Under development.

Table A.2.1 summarizes the cost data available for sulfur oxide controls on thermal power plants. Physical coal cleaning costs approximately \$15 per ton of coal for high-sulfur coals (i.e., approximately \$0.22 per pound of sulfur removal). (For low-sulfur coals the price is considerably higher i.e., around \$1.88 per pound of sulfur removal).

The cost for flue gas desulphurization (FGD) ranges between \$120 - \$200 per kilowatt of installed capacity. Using lime instead of limestone raises the costs. FGD recovery processes, such as the dual alkali and Wellman-Lord processes, tend to be more expensive than wet scrubbling. Dry scrubbers cost \$120 - \$140 per kilowatt of installed capacity but the technology is still under development and the cost estimates are rising. Generally, there is a wide range in the costs of FGD systems due to site-specific variables.

## NO, Reduction

Several approaches can be used for  $NO_x$  control. Low-nitrogen fuel is one of these but is not as effective as low-sulphur fuel is for  $SO_2$  because part of the  $NO_x$  comes from the combustion air rather than the fuel. Combustion modification, the most cost-effective method, is used to some degree. If flue gas treatment is required, injection of ammonia to reduce  $NO_x$  to nitrogen is favoured. Use of a catalyst promotes the reaction