

I have not adopted that plan, because of a number of letters which came to this committee, saying that a certain man who has such and such qualifications was allowed to register in such a way in the last report of the committee; and therefore the writers of the letters applied for something else which had nothing whatever to do with it; and it was thought, to avoid that, we would simply read the findings of the committee.

Dr. HARRIS—I think perhaps the other method is preferable, because the Council then are in full information, but with a report of this kind those members of the Council who are not members of the Education Committee, are not informed as to what these men apply for.

The President rules that Dr. Johnson may give a verbal explanation as the reading of the report clause by clause is proceeded with.

On motion the Council went into Committee of the Whole on the report of the Education Committee. Dr. Luton in the chair.

The report was read and adopted clause by clause down to and including clause 29. After clause 30 had been read--

Dr. ROGERS—This gentleman says he has put in one year in Medicine; he must have put in Arts and Medicine together, because the certificate says that 1894 was his year in Arts.

Dr. JOHNSON—There are two: one is dated September 28th, 1893, and one dated April 25th, 1894. The first stating Matriculation Examination in Arts had been passed in Queen's University; the other, that First Year Examination in Arts, had also been passed there.

Dr. ROGERS—I wish to say a few words in regard to this matter; and I want to place fairly before the Council objections to his passing. The recommendation of the committee is that he be passed, I claim, in the first place, it is a violation of our present by-laws, and I shall ask your ruling, Mr. President, in regard to it. If you will look at the by-law in the regulations of 1893-4 for Matriculation you will see it says, "Every one desirous of being registered as a matriculated medical student in the register of this college, except as hereinafter provided, must on and after the first day of November, 1892, present to the Registrar of the College the official certificate of having passed the Departmental Pass Art Matriculation Examination, and, in addition, Physics and Chemistry, whereupon he or she shall be entitled to be so registered upon the payment of \$20, and giving proof of identity." The only other alternative is "Pass in Arts." That is a by-law of this Council. As I understand it, the report of this committee should not depart from our by-laws unless you have changed the by-laws by notice of motion, and in the regular manner. If you pass Mr. Letellier you are doing so in contravention of your own by-laws. This gentleman did not take the Departmental Arts Examination, but took the Matriculation Examination of Queen's University. My first point is, you cannot do this unless you have changed your by-law in regard to Matriculation. The Registrar has no power, even on resolution of this Council, to register this gentleman. In his own letter this young man says he has put one year in Medicine; therefore his certificate is no proof whatever. He does not state in his letter that he put in a year

in Arts. Nothing that he has got states that he put in a year or a day in the Arts at all. These certificates say he is an undergraduate—that is true; the certificate states on the back of it that he has passed these subjects—that is also true. But there is not a word in his letters or in the certificate he produces which states he has put in one day in Arts in the Faculty of a University.

Let it be distinctly understood that I have not one word to say against the Matriculation Examination of Queen's University. I have every respect for it, as I have every respect for the University which produces these certificates; but if the rule to allow one student to bring a certificate of matriculation from one University is accepted, then I say you must grant the same privilege to every University in Ontario. I say it is wrong; it is an injustice to Toronto, to Trinity, and to the Western University, to accept a student from the matriculation of one University and not grant the same privilege to the others.

"The paltry excuse which this gentleman brings forward, backed up by no proof whatever, save and except his own word in the matter—the word of a student who wants to avoid an examination—is he says that Mr. Bell said so and so. Mr. Bell does not say so. You have no proof that Mr. Bell ever recommended him to pass the examination in lieu of the departmental examination in Arts. He may have advised him to pass the examination in Queen's, but we have no proof before us that he ever did so.

Therefore, I wish, in the first place, to object to this report in regard to Mr. Letellier, and if it is passed, I wish to put on record my protest against the acceptance of any certificate of Matriculation which does not come under the by-laws in regard to Matriculation. But I hope, for the sake of this Council and for the sake of the reputation which we have always heretofore had of maintaining our standard of matriculation—at least, not going back at all—that this clause in the report of the Education Committee will be struck out. I therefore beg to move that the clause of the report of the Committee on Education in regard to Mr. A. Letellier be struck out of the report.

Dr. JOHNSON—Before this is put, I might say that this is a case that is exactly similar to a number of others—cases of gentlemen who have misunderstood or who have been misled as to the examination that they should pass, and your committee consider they should not be punished for the error that they had unwittingly gone into, as the examinations are very much alike. As you have already accepted and allowed the registration of three or four of the same style of cases as this, you will, if this clause be changed, have to go back and change three or four of those we have already passed.

Dr. ROGERS—It is not my recollection, as a member of the committee, that the cases are exactly similar, but if I am mistaken in my understanding of the passing of any student, then I protest against any student being allowed to matriculate who has not complied with the by-laws of this Council in regard to matriculation. Pass my motion, and then we will go back to the others.

Dr. JOHNSON—This same question, and very much the same arguments, were threshed out before the committee. The motion on these remarks was put