

Honorable and Rev. H. Bligh expressed the hope that our Colonial visitors had spent a pleasant and successful time in England, and that their work had been crowned with success. On behalf of the committee and the British bee-keepers generally he wished them a safe and prosperous journey in returning to their respective homes in Canada.

The Rev. Geo. Raynor and W. O. B. Glennie supported the resolution. Mr. Corneil and Mr. Jones returned thanks for the kind wishes of the committee and for the very cordial way in which they had been received and treated by the British bee-keepers throughout the country. On motion of Mr. Glennie, it was resolved that the B. B. K. A. should in future forward a copy of the *British Bee Journal* to the O. B. K. A.

The editor of the *Bee-keepers' Magazine* has been taken to task by the *British Bee Journal*, for crediting America as standing at the head of the World in bee literature, and it quotes a list of no less than twenty-three bee journals published in Germany, as against the six published in America. These twenty-three German bee-papers are devoted exclusively to bee-keeping, and the list does not include any of the periodicals that combine bee-keeping with something else, of which there are a large number.

The value of honey imported into the United Kingdom during the month of October 1886, amounted to £1257.

#### INVERTIBLE FRAMES & HIVES.

BY THE TIN STRIP PROCESS.

ON page 981 of last issue of "*Gleanings*" we find Mr. Kretchmer's invertible frame and hive illustrated. The editor in his remarks says "your plan is not new so far as the frame is concerned. The idea however of slipping a piece of hoop iron over the frames, so the hive may be inverted, is, so far as I remember, original. The whole difficulty with such an arrangement is, that frames supported by opposite corners are not firm and substantial." In justice we want to say, that the idea of slipping the piece of hoop iron over the frames, for inverting purposes, is no newer than the style of

frame. We have practiced this same thing for the past three seasons in our comb honey hive for inverting the surplus cases. The principle upon which the frame is worked, is similar to one suggested to us and of which we have a sample now in our possession by Mr. C. Garwood of Baltimore, Md., who sent it to us over a year and a half ago. The sample was sent for our consideration but we did not consider it workable and hence laid it aside.

#### MCINTOSH VS. HARRISON.

JUST after we had gone to press with last issue of the JOURNAL, we received from Messrs Bain, Laidlaw & Co., the following letter, which will explain itself:

TORONTO, Dec. 20th '86

"We have received your favors to Osler & Co. and to Mr. Laidlaw and we are well pleased to know that the Bee-Keepers' Association are taking an interest in this extraordinary case. There are important questions of law and fact involved in the action, and the Judge at the trial left a few questions of fact to the jury but reserved for himself the main questions of law and fact. We have ordered a copy of the evidence which was taken by a shorthand reporter and we will make a full report for the Association.

No proceedings will be taken in the action in the meantime, in fact the argument on the main questions was adjourned over from Walkerton, and the reporter has been so busy that we have not been able to get a copy of the evidence yet.

We will be grateful for copies of your JOURNAL and we will appreciate very much the opportunity of discussing the law and facts of this case with practical men.

We will have all papers in order for your meeting at Toronto.

Yours truly,

BAIN, LAIDLAW & CO.

We had written asking for a copy of the evidence, and offering, for the convenience of Mr. Laidlaw, copies of our JOURNAL, in which he would probably get many ideas, brought out in the correspondence, which would be of use to him.

We have also written the firm inviting Mr. Laidlaw to be present at the meeting of the O.B.K.A. on Jan. 5th and 6th, when the matter can be fully gone into. In the meantime, as will be seen by their letter, action in the case will be deferred. What we want now, is a still further ev-