

GODOYEAR'S RUBBER GOODS  
of Every Description.  
TORONTO RUBBER CO.  
T. McILROY & JR. & CO.  
King-Street West Branch—103 Yonge-Street

# The Toronto World.

REMOVAL.  
C. S. GZOWSKI, Stock Broker  
Has removed from 24 King-Street East to the  
London and Canadian Chambers,  
103 Bay-Street.

ONE CENT

TWELFTH YEAR.

SATURDAY MORNING, AUGUST 1, 1891

THE SUNDAY WORLD WILL BE A LITERARY GEM. READ IT WITHOUT FAIL.

AND PERLEY WEPT BITTERLY

MR. MULOCK OPENED FIRE AND THE WITNESS BROKE DOWN.

The "Something" Sir Hector wanted for the Elections—Privileges and Electio-  
n Committee Resembles a Bear-  
Garden Over a Dispute as to Whether  
Subscribers Should be Named.

OTTAWA, July 31.—Henry F. Perley, the guru of the Boys, was the first witness called before the Privileges and Elections Committee this morning. He seemed to be inretched health and spirits—haggard, pale and emaciated to an extreme degree—questioned by Mr. Lartier in reference to his containing letters in reference to him and the pigeon-holes in his late office Public Works Department, but he would not touch them.

Robert, the Deputy Minister, was summoned to produce these before committee.

Osler called to the witness the words, "Add a Boyd 163,000 yards," as written in H. McGehee's diary. He told Mr. Perley that Robert stated in evidence that he wrote these words in accordance with a report made by him (Perley).

Witness said he had no recollection of making a report.

To Mr. German: He said that he had not made the estimates in regard to the south wall prior to the awarding of the contract.

Mr. German reminded him, however, that in a letter to Sir H. he said that Gal-  
lagher's tender was lower than the work

could possibly be done for.

Witness replied that his opinion as to Gallagher's tender was based on his knowledge of the work in 1888, and not any estimate. Mr. Perley produced this letter to the Minister. He denied that he ever saw the tender before the opening of them.

Mr. Edge: He said he had been con-  
vinced that Gallagher's tender was too low from a conversation he had with Boyd, the engineer.

Q: Did you have no other information besides this talk with Boyd? You could not depend on a question involving a sum of \$163,000 to make up your mind?

Mr. Perley: To the best of my knowledge, he had been sitting on the witness stand in the court room, but he had not yet got back in his chair, explaining, "I know nothing about it, I know nothing about it." He had turned forward on his breast, and they slightly recovering he drew both hands upwards as far as he could, and again cried out, "I know nothing about it." His face, and his whole appearance, was one of the greatest alarm. He said again, "I am a very dangerous witness." And the committee, moved to compassion, adjourned the examination. Mr. Perley was given some time to think, and the next witness was called.

After his examination Mr. Perley was taken to Mr. Chapman's room, where he was again recovered under the attention of Dr. Sprague. When fully recovered he wept like a child. He was asked, "What's God, to think that all this is coming on me?"

Promised to Send Something.

Simon Peters, contractor of Quebec, pro-  
duced this letter, which was received from the Minister of Public Works:

Quebec, June 17, 1891.  
My Dear Mr. PETERS.—You promised to send something for the electors, will you kindly do it to-day? Yours truly, H. L. LANEY.

Asked if he had contained the request contained in his letter, he said: "Yes, I gave Sir Hector \$1000 of my own money and \$1000 of my colleagues".

When he was asked to subscribe to the Langenw testimonial he was known as a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.

He was asked if he had contributed to the Langenw testimonial of \$1000. He said: "I did not contribute to the Langenw testimonial because I am a contractor and had at that time no claim against the Government for compensation. His claim against the Govern-  
ment for the Langenw was produced. Col-  
lection began in 1880, and ended in November, 1881. It took in the cost of contractors and the bulk came from contractors. The \$1000 contributed by Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, was paid in full to the firm of Larkins Connolly & Co., Mr. Nuttall, and the balance was paid to Mr. McGehee in Quebec.