

Again, and here is a much wider class, a verdict may run the judicial gauntlet and meet with objection to its validity by the losing suitor. Here again the grounds of attack are roughly speaking the failure of the jury to render their verdict on the duly admitted evidence and the law, with the additional grounds germane thereto and so familiar to the trial counsel and especially to the appellate courts. Upon a motion against the verdict or findings of the jury and for a stay of judgment accordingly, the verdict is for the time being of course robbed of its effect.

The field for forensic debate opened by attacks upon the verdict of the jury is undoubtedly a dignified arena for legal fencing upon questions of law. And while the jury have only assumed to give a binding opinion on the facts involved in the issue of the case, there sometimes seems no limit to the number and variety of law points discussable on a motion to set aside that verdict.