

no income of people into Canada ; they have gone away as fast as they have come and I have adduced proof which, to a large extent, confirms that statement. I say it with regret, but because it is the truth, I do not see why it should be withheld. Therefore, I say that the prophecies which have been made by gentlemen representing the Conservative side of the House during the time I have had the honor to occupy a seat in this Chamber, have not been fulfilled. They have not told us the truth. They have not fulfilled their own expectations in any degree, and the country to-day, from one end to the other—from British Columbia, which has formed a vigilance committee to drive out the Chinese, to Nova Scotia which has introduced resolutions for disruption—

HON. MR. KAULBACH—That is the work of a few discontented Grits.

HON. MR. McCLELAN—And Prince Edward Island, which petitioned the Queen against the policy of the Dominion Parliament.

HON. MR. HOWLAN—Prince Edward Island has not petitioned against the policy of the Government ; it has petitioned the Queen to have the terms of Confederation carried out.

HON. MR. McCLELAN—Part of the policy of the Government is to provide increased accommodation across the straits. That has not been done, according to the Legislature of the Island, and hence they have appealed to the Queen. That, certainly, is a fact.

HON. MR. HOWLAN—They endorse the policy of this Government.

HON. MR. McCLELAN—I wish to make references now to the question which is more particularly under the consideration of the Senate, and to that I would have confined my observations if the hon. gentlemen on the other side had done so.

HON. MR. KAULBACH—I did so in my remarks.

HON. MR. HAYTHORNE—I rise to a point of order. The hon. gentleman from

HON. MR. McCLELAN.

Lunenburg is constantly interrupting the hon. gentleman from Albert.

THE SPEAKER—It is altogether out of order for any hon. gentleman to interrupt another who has the floor.

HON. MR. DEVER—I should like to see this debate finished to-night, and we could do so if it were not for the interruptions, and interjections of people who only want to be prominent.

HON. MR. KAULBACH—I admit that my interruptions have been out of place, but from what I heard from the hon. gentleman himself I was under the impression that he did not object to them. He said he was going to confine himself to the question before the House, and that he would have done so, if hon. gentlemen on the other side had set him the example. I merely remarked that if he referred to me, I had confined myself to the question.

HON. MR. PLUMB—I wish to say on the point of order that in other places it is quite common to interject an objection to a statement made in a speech. If the hon. gentleman had himself objected to such interruptions, nobody of course could say a word.

THE SPEAKER—The point of order was raised, and I have stated my opinion.

HON. MR. McCLELAN—Before referring to the commissioners' report, there is one other subject to which I wish to refer. When the hon. gentlemen who discussed this question on the other side, became exhausted for statements, they invariably fell back on the assertion that the popular verdict had been in their favor. The electors—those public committees to which the hon. member from Alberton referred—had decided in favor of the present condition of things. That is an argument to which it is much more difficult to reply. I only make a reference to it now, because I do not wish to entirely pass it over. My hon. friends are entitled to any consolation and benefit they may get from it, and I dare say they may get a good deal of consolation and benefit. There is this to be said about it, that during the boom that came on as a result of this National