That is clear enough. Is that not a threat, Mr. Speaker? In 1959, he said:

We cannot expect to see America making a sudden leap from capitalism into Communism, but we can help the elected leaders give the Americans small amounts of socialism until they wake up only to find that they have Communism.

Mr. Speaker, the October crisis served also another purpose, that is the publicity of this government that took advantage of public threat, fright, fear and disorder to play politics. It still wants to carry on this game and to use other possible crises to its own ends, while the public would be left unprotected, as it is the case now, by means of a permanent statute; then, if there is an impending danger, the government may look as if it were running to help the people, in order to line them up better behind itself.

The government does not want to have a permanent, serious and national statute. It wants us to forget its incompetency and its failures, by making use of any trouble to make us forget its sins in order to further its re-election. This Liberal government—perhaps you will regard me as a prophet some day—intends to use the FLQ as a scapegoat to win the next election.

If the FLQ does not do anything spectacular, the Liberal party will arrange that easily, because it has always done so on the eve of elections. We were able to ascertain that he used a similar trick on the occasion of the last Quebec provincial election.

If ghosts are needed the Liberal party will provide them. Afterwards, like Don Quixote, it will hurry to rescue the people. Mr. Speaker, I can forecast that the Liberal party will take advantage of fear to win the next election, thereby creating panic so that people will forget the just society it promised and never implemented.

Mr. Speaker, the government would like to appoint a committee of the Senate and the House to examine a bill to replace the emergency measures. As I said earlier, that is absolutely absurd.

Why should members of the Senate sit on this committee? They have not been elected by the people of Canada and they are not responsible to them. The senators will only slow down the business of the committee and delay its report. The task of that committee will be to examine—not to draft—a piece of legislation for the passage of emergency measures.

Mr. Speaker, when our electors voted for us they thought they were electing parliament members, not students. Now the Prime Minister wants to compel us to study in committee without giving us any power to prepare a legislation.

The establishment of that committee has no other object than to disguise the lack of responsibility of this government which is afraid of assuming its responsibility and does not bother about protecting the people, for another crisis like that of October could break out tomorrow. The people will have nothing more than before the crisis to protect themselves. The repeal of emergency measures by the government helps only anarchists and revolutionaries.

National Security Measures

Mr. Speaker, emergency measures do not move me, do not scare me, do not trouble me, do not interfere with my family, do not upset my way of life. What people can be harmed by emergency measures? If individual freedom is respected, we cannot prejudice the civil liberties of an individual following the path of duty. Those whose background was above suspicion in October or November, were not unduly bothered.

However, I know some people who were bothered in colleges or elsewhere and whom the police had reason to question. I even know some teachers in my own city who were fired because they were teaching revolution to our children instead of what the program required. They had committed no indictable offences; nevertheless, the education they were giving our children was of questionable quality. One of my daughters who attends a CEGEP, and I knew almost every day, every weekend, what kind of education they were trying to give our students. Our children were not requested to plant bombs, but it was sought to give them an education which would correspond 100 per cent to the views of the so-called liberators, also known as revolutionaries.

The Prime Minister would have people believe he wants to protect them while permitting revolutionaries to better organize themselves for a second offensive. Why wait for the passing of emergency measures? Why wait one year for a committee report when we know all we need to know? We have been informed of all the facts. Even if we are not aware of some facts, even if we were to adopt emergency legislation tomorrow, even if we do not need it, this would harm nobody. But should the fire of revolution would break out somewhere, there will be no need for Parliament to meet and for us to argue and persist in finding some method to fight it.

Double playing, the government thought of setting up a joint committee composed of a sufficient number of talkative members to waste time while a revolution is being organized.

Mr. Speaker, one has to be quite naive to think that everything is over and belongs to the past because the revolutionaries are still at work. Killers are still at large. In fact, this is the only true freedom.

Underworld prosperity is its reward and the result of contributions to election funds. Cursory examination of newspapers published these past three months provides ample evidence that more murders than ever are being committed in the province of Quebec, particularly in Montreal.

• (9:20 p.m.)

The government, the New Democratic Party and some other members do not want anything to change in that regard because apparently they want to take the road leading to socialism, the dream of our Prime Minister. In a moment, I shall give facts that show that his dreams have not changed. But until his socialistic dream comes true, the Prime Minister runs with the hare which represents the people, and hunts with the socialist and revolutionary hounds, and finds it possible to make right-wing speeches on television advocating harmony and disci-