the Bible, furnishes the key to all that follows. What is the picture given in the whole Bible-Old Testament and New? Is it not that of a world turned aside from

.

n

y

16

30

ur.

is

rd.

as

be

0 **Y**

ne

2

4

In

3.2

of

int

ut.

in

of

aly.

als

an

15

'he

ion

is

ind

of

ory

ΰf

d-living in rebe, on and defiance to Him-disobedient to His calls and resisting His grace? What is the explanation of this universal apostasy and transgression if it is not that man has fallen from his first estate? For certainly this is not the state in which God made man, or wishes him to be. The truth is, if this story of the Fall were not there at the beginning of the Bible, we would require to put it there for ourselves in order to explain the moral state of the world as the Bible pictures it to us, and as we know it to be. In chapter 4, as an appendage to these narratives, there follows the story of Cain and Abel, with brief notices of the beginnings of civilization in the line of Cain, and of the start of a holier line in Seth.

Next, returning to the style of Gen. 1-what is called the "Elohistic" style-we have the genealogical line of Seth extending from Adam to Noah. You are struck with the longevity ascribed to those patriarchal figures in the dawn of time, but not less with the constant mournful refrain which ends each notice, Enoch's alone excepted, "and he died." This chapter connects directly with the account of creation in Genesis 1, but presupposes equally the narrative of the Fall in the intervening chapters. We often read in itical books assertions to the contrary of this. The "Priestly writer," we are told, "knows nothing" of a Fall. But that is not so. Wellhausen, that master-critic, is on my side here. Speaking of the so-called "Priestly" sections in the story of the Flood, he says, "The Flood is well led up to; in Q. (that is his name for the Priestly