

ven to repudiate the name of British and to take refuge under the American flag depends on how long the national delusion shall continue that holds up such men as Peel, Gladstone, and Lord Grey. In the meantime the condition of the colonial proprietors is being made more and more desperate. Gladstone's administration of Peel's principles, and especially his celebrated dispatch to Canada, in which, (banishing from his memory all our American experience), he boldly asserted that the Colonial tie was secured by the traditional prejudices of the Colonists! reminds us of the treatment received by a distinguished French traveller who was shipwrecked on the coast of Barbary: "to dry up his tears the Barbarians threw dust in his eyes!" But to describe the effects of the principles of political economy as administered to the Colonies by Lord Grey, it is impossible to find language. The eloquent language of Sheridan, instead of overstating, far understates the case: "for so *pestiferous* to British interests in the breath of our laws geometrical legislation that it at once succeeds in blighting all agricultural pursuits at home and in the colonies, and *at same time* invigorates the national industry of our opponents and enemies, reanimating even their accursed slave trade."

"It looks as if some fabled monster had made his passage through the country, whose pestiferous breath had blotted more than its voracious appetite could devour." *

Am I asked why these people arose in such concert? Because they were people in human shape; because patience under the detested tyranny of man is rebellion to the sovereignty of God; because allegiance to that power that gives us the forms of men commands to maintain the rights of men. * * * * Never was this unextinguishable truth destroyed from the heart that man is not the property of man; that human power is a trust for human benefit; and that when it is abused, revenge becomes justice if not the bounden duty of the injured. These, my Lords, were the causes why these people rose."

Perhaps no where has the truth as to the misgovernment of the Colonies been more fearlessly stated than in the late numbers of *Tait's Edinburgh Magazine*:

"The influence" says *Tait*, "that relains the British people together must be strong, to resist in years of successive and violent temptations to separate. The design of casting off the colonies is now openly avowed by the subordinates of the Government; but, if ever their superiors proposed a bill for that purpose in Parliament, they will learn that they have completely miscalculated the temper of the people. The Ministry will not follow that plain path. They will continue to insult, misgovern, and oppress, in expectation of the consequences. They will sustain Torrigton, the Governor, the priest-whipper, in Ceylon: they will give certificates of good conduct to the Mori O'Ferrals, who may turn our fortress into the tools of the Jesuits—knighthood to Wards, who hang Cephalonians like the Haynays—peerges to the Elgins, who hide in the woods from the presence of the colonists whom they have successfully involved in trouble—and all manner of support to the dozens of governors in over-taxed islets who demand for themselves more money than the colonists can earn. This is the habit of the Colonial office. An effort to part the Colonies from home, made avowedly and manfully, would not be successful. The people would at once lay the treason prostrate. Therefore, a deeper scheme is invented. The Colonists are teased, tormented, and smothered with constitutions. Here they are threatened with an inundation of paupers; there with an infusions of felon and felony. Now they are pressed to the earth, and money squeezed out of them hydraulically to pay governors and officials, over whose appointments and dismissals they have no power; again they are forbidden to employ labourers, except with permission, best, and benison from Earl Grey. In one quarter land is rendered of dangerous and difficult attainment; in another it is squandered away in grants to favourite pets, with guilty profusion."

The colonies are in danger. The empire is parting. We are in the progress downwards, and commences our second millennium, as Anglo-Saxons, with bad prospects, unless our policy be decisively and rapidly changed."

To me it has long been clear that, whether wilfully or not, Peel and Grey have, between them, *as nearly as possible*, broken up

* The race of small men described by Chambaud, "Jeune homme qui se distingue par son dessein, par des manières libres et courtoises."

BRITISH PRINCIPLES BANISHED FROM THEIR OWN COUNTRY HAVE TAKEN REFUGE IN AMERICA.
The following is the deliverance of the greatest living American, the Hon. Henry Clay. His terms Free Trade "Concessions to foreign powers, to our rivals jealous of our growth and anxious to impede our onward progress. Encouragement to domestic industry is a concession to our fellow-citizens." It is a concession by the whole to the whole; for every part of the country possesses a capacity to manufacture, and every part of the country more or less does manufacture." And the Free Trader or Thincor he characterises thus:—"He has mounted his hobby and has determined to spur and whip him on, rough shod, over all facts, obstacles and impediments that lie in his way."

LAND AND COLONIAL VIEWS.

(From the *Greenock Advertiser* of 8th February, 1850.)

Mr Isaac Buchanan has written more than any other man on the necessity of protection—not because England could not do with Free Trade if other countries would imitate her example, but because no other country on earth but England is in a position to open its ports—and this is also the view of "A Colonist" [the writer in the *Toronto Colonist*, who originated the £200 prizes to the working classes.] They agree, too, in declaring

the British Empire. At all the different stages of the Free Trade Minna, I have seen its certain result; firstly, in our ceasing to be an Empire; and secondly, in our being involved in revolution from want of employment in this country; and I have not shamed from what I consider the duty of declaring that Peel and Grey, with Cobden and others, are in the opinion of the Colonists mere political cut-throats. The following are the words which I addressed to the Secretary for the Colonies, on the 11th April last, subsequent events having but well corroborated them:—"In 1846 I brought before your Lordship and Lord Elgin the true position of the Canadas. I showed you that for the loss of these, the nobles of Britain's Colonies we should have to blame entirely our own imperial Legislation in 1774 and 1816. In 1774, we created Lower Canada into a French, when it should have been made an English colony; and we gave the French Canadians a feudal system as a means of keeping them *different* from the New England States—which were then evincing the independent spirit of Englishmen—and as a machinery by which, with the aid of the North American Indians, we vainly attempted to hunt down the then protesting Colonies, which are now the United States' Republic. I showed you that practically, to suffer a French dominion now in United Canada (even if done under the forms of the Canadian Constitution of 1840) would be an equally vain attempt to coerce the British portion of the American Colonies—who, though they love the British name well, love the reality of British freedom better—and I pointed out the certainty that, if the fatal policy of 1774 were practically to be persisted in by the British Government, Lord Elgin would assuredly be the last British Governor in America, it being absurd to suppose that the same causes that would lose us Canada, would not also lose us Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and the West Indies. The Canadas would be *temporarily* saved—by our repudiation of the anti-British legislation of 1774—and by this course alone will time enough be got to save our entire Colonial Empire by national repudiation by Sir Robert Peel and his anti-British measure of 1846. Except with a view to the latter, there is no use whatever in carrying the former reform: but to leave no uncertainty by what I mean by the latter, I shall here state the practical way in which the legislation of 1846 may be so qualified as to secure permanently our Colonial Empire.

[HOW TO SAVE THE COLONIES.—A BRITISH ZOLVEREIN.]

Let us at once prove our faith in Free Trade by making the Colonies so far integral parts of the British Empire as to levy no duty on the sugar, corn, or other products of the Colonists, except on articles on which there is an excise duty in this country; and let us—in order to show our confidence in British manufacturing superiority, and to load other nations to reciprocate with us to a reasonable extent—declare by the same act of Parliament that all foreign countries that will agree to *Free Trade with our Colonies*, and so to remodel their tariffs as not to charge us more on any article than 15 per cent. on the British cost, shall stand on the same footing as the Colonies, and have their products received here *free of all duty*. And, as it is most reasonable that countries which will not agree even to such terms of reciprocal trade should have deducted from the price which their articles produce in this country a customs' duty in some degree equivalent to the taxes paid by British subjects producing the same articles, let us arrange that on all such foreign articles as are not charged any duty, or are charged a less duty than 15 per cent. on the price in England, we should charge the said customs' duty of fifteen per cent. until the foreign country agrees to our proposition for reciprocal trade, or until we can agree to such other proposition as the foreigner may shew to be more reasonable in his peculiar circumstances. By following the foregoing line of principle and policy, I am confident the Canadas and the West Indies could, in a moment, be *reunited* into the most prosperous and most loyal portions of the British Empire. I see also, however, that it is more likely that our national infatuation may continue till, in the British Colonies in 1850, as in France in 1848, the melancholy words, "It is now too late," may come to be used; and, in such case, the names of Peel and Grey will go down with infamy to posterity, as having reversed the old British principle that *Honesty is the best Policy.*"

that without protection our Western Colonies must be immediately lost to the Empire; but the "Colonist" goes farther and shows that CANADA MUST PROTECT HERSELF AGAINST ENGLAND: He attempts (and we think with extraordinary success) to show that it is for the obvious interest of every country so situated to manufacture for itself, as well as grow its food. He shows, in fact, that Canada must have manu-

factures, *the* *country* *appears* *being* *a* *owner,* *the* *latter,* *the*

"A C intelligent rich field castle of the idea that they by compa Judges tw

There which wi

1st, M

the emplo

the propo

And if it

nists in e

he answer

to the col

subjects i

excluded

millions to

security a

in setting

up the ope

prospect o

FOR THI

British au

length of

views the

of Great

they have

quering a

such n ay

Colonist, i

dated Nev

on the bre

of Amer

2d, Mr

have prop

circulation

need of it

with safety

individual ban

at present,

stock in N

a legal ten

lands, to be

the cost o

the value o

holders hav

option of a

ple's Cons

at 5 per ce

VIRG BAN

scurity but

half the am

thinks,

People's ban

the Govern

five countr

ever, gettin

5 cent.; a

cessary dire

or other ban

He graduall

to rather the

the no

and Scotlan

the Bank o

leaving the

ing medium

Pre

Mr Buchan

these LAND

shall embod

is, from wh

of Univers

constituency

for 12 month

formerly vis

of them we c

* The tenur

either alse