

but in *the time* of your *non-illustrative illustrations*: thus, at the very moment when you were, probably, citing the dispute between Maine and New Brunswick, to shew that Nova Scotia and Upper Canada took little or no interest in the quarrel, both those provinces had just given unequivocal proofs that, they took the deepest and most lively interest therein, and that they considered the interests and the honor of all the British provinces identified with each other. You audaciously assure your sovereign that you have given her a full description of our state of feeling and have *the impudence* to produce as *evidence of those feelings, the addresses which you obtained by means of as false and fraudulent pretences and promises as ever were practised by the most accomplished SWINDLER !!!* Not contented with this barefaced exhibition of effrontery, you actually, like other capital offenders, attempt to gain your impious ends by *intimidation*, and in defiance of all your previous jesuitical professions and asseverations that the "*predominant feeling of all the English population of the North American colonies is that of devoted attachment to the mother country,*" &c.—You evidently wish to terrify Her Majesty into compliance by representing the danger arising from "*those threats of separation and independence the open and general utterance of which*" (*by the said English population.*) you say, "*was reported to you from all quarters,*" to be *so imminent* that other dangers sunk into insignificance when compared with it!!!—Can this be exceeded in inconsistency, in impudence, in falsehood, or in turpitude?

God only knows what may be your Lordship's motives or what your *ultimate objects*! You have professed complete political impartiality; to acknowledge *no distinction of parties* and you have wholly and solely advocated, lauded and encouraged *one party* and that the least numerous, the most despica-