

children want to jump into the lake and swim, the beaches are closed. When you try to get to the bottom of the cause of these pollutants again you get this buck passing; municipalities looking to the province for solutions, the province looking to the federal government and vice versa.

Forty years ago, when I came to Ontario, the joy that we had was to be able to swim in Lake Ontario. Now, 40 years later, we cannot swim in the same lake that we swam 40 years ago. Because Lake Ontario joins the Great Lakes, there is international involvement here so the federal government has to be involved. Pollutants do come down the Humber River to the mouth of the Humber so the province is involved.

Canada geese do a lot of polluting. Everyone is powerless to even reduce the number of Canada geese on the beaches when the rain washes their waste into Lake Ontario.

I am glad that the hon. member for Broadview—Greenwood gave that direction to the committee. I would also like to emphasize that because it is very very important. In my area, it is four levels of government. It is the federal government, the provincial government, the metropolitan Toronto government and the city of Toronto. There are four jurisdictions there and four jurisdictions cannot solve this little problem of keeping the beaches open for the children when the temperatures reach 80 and 90 degrees in July and August. Something is wrong with us, the politicians, if we cannot get four levels of government together to clean up the beaches for our children every summer.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** Is the House ready for the question?

**Some hon. members:** Question.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** The first question is on Motion No. 11.

• (1530)

Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

**Some hon. members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. members:** No.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

**Some hon. members:** Yea.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** All those opposed will please say nay.

**Some hon. members:** Nay.

### *Government Orders*

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** In my opinion the nays have it.

*And more than five members having risen:*

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** Pursuant to Standing Order 76(8), a recorded division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

The next question is on Motion No. 18.

Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

**Some hon. members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. members:** No.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

**Some hon. members:** Yea.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** All those opposed will please say nay.

**Some hon. members:** Nay.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** In my opinion the nays have it.

*And more than five members having risen:*

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** Pursuant to Standing Order 76(8), a recorded division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

The next question is on Motions Nos. 19 and 29 which are grouped for debate. A vote on Motion No. 19 will apply to Motion No. 29.

**Hon. Chas. L. Caccia (Davenport) moved:**

Motion No. 19

That Bill C-13 be amended in Clause 37 by striking out line 37 at page 24 and substituting the following therefor:

“can be justified in the circumstances because the project contributes to the goal of achieving sustainable development,”

Motion No. 29

That Bill C-13 be amended in Clause 58 by striking out line 17 at page 42 and substituting the following therefor:

“justified in the circumstances because the project contributes to the goal of achieving sustainable development;”

He said: Madam Speaker, before Question Period I listened carefully to the parliamentary secretary and to his rebuttal on Motion No. 11 and the arguments which he made in determining that my amendment was at that point unnecessary.

I have to recognize and admit that his arguments were quite good and that he certainly made a good point which I fully accept.