

*Order Paper Questions*

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. December 8, 1972.

2. Rejected on February 20, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.
5. N/A.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-3922

**Question No. 1,259—Mr. Beaudoin:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-3922?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. December 8, 1972.

2. Rejected on February 22, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.
5. N/A.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-3850

**Question No. 1,260—Mr. Beaudoin:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-3850?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. December 6, 1972.

2. Rejected on January 5, 1973.

[Mr. Beaudoin.]

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.
5. N/A.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-3686

**Question No. 1,261—Mr. Beaudoin:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-3686?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. December 5, 1972.

2. Rejected on February 26, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.
5. N/A.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-3627

**Question No. 1,262—Mr. Beaudoin:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-3627?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?
3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?
4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?
5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. November 29, 1972.

2. Rejected on January 11, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.