

Mr. MITCHELL: I will settle for three.

Mr. KNOWLES: There have been three—

Mr. MITCHELL: And this is the fourth.

Mr. KNOWLES: It would be if it were a commission. No man who has taken part in industrial conciliation stands higher in repute in this country than Mr. Justice Richards. I made my enthusiasm vocal by shouting "Hear, hear" when the minister announced in the house that he had asked Mr. Justice Richards to look into this dispute. But again, Mr. Chairman, a report has recently been made public by the Minister of Labour which cannot help but annoy the members of the international typographical union, particularly in view of their past feeling that the whole picture has been distorted. Why does that report annoy them? Because it has been discovered and announced that Mr. Woodruff Randolph, president of the international typographical union, was not able a week or so ago to attend a meeting of the Southam people and Mr. Justice Richards. The reason for that is clear but was not indicated. Mr. Randolph has been at Miami for the 88th convention of the international typographical union, and it was obvious therefore that he could not meet with the publishers at that time. But the statement that has been released by the minister that Mr. Justice Richards is not able to get the parties together because Mr. Randolph cannot come gives the impression that the fault is on the workers' side. That is my quarrel with the minister. I hope some day when he retires and has time to look over his past that he will read his speeches—

Mr. STEWART (Winnipeg North): That is unfair.

Mr. KNOWLES:—and see how many times he has made statements that have tried to put the workers in the wrong. He did it again last night when discussing the C.P.R. dispute. The only contribution the minister made was to say that the workers had broken a contract. I am not going to raise that question again this morning, but I will in due course. That is one of the points in dispute, for the men feel very strongly that the so-called contract was a one-sided arrangement made by the company.

Throughout this whole typographical dispute there has not been that impartiality which the minister claims he has shown, but there has been consistent support given to the position taken by the publishers. If the minister wants to be helpful in this dispute I suggest that he let it be known that he knows that the unions

are not being dictated to by head office in Indianapolis. He is a trade unionist and know how these things work. Let him also let it be known that the union is not asking for something contrary to Canadian law. The wording worked out in the office of the Department of Labour on January 30, and acceptable to all concerned makes it very clear that the union is not asking for anything that would require an exception to be made to the laws of this country.

Mr. MacINNIS: I am not going into this matter at any length because the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre has covered it fully. When I hear him speak I realize that he made a mistake in not studying for law instead of the ministry.

Mr. McCANN: Saving money instead of souls.

Mr. MacINNIS: There may be something in the remark made by the Minister of National Revenue. I would hate to be the lawyer opposing my hon. friend and I would dread to be the culprit he was prosecuting in the court.

Mr. GRAYDON: How would he do for a judge?

Mr. MacINNIS: He has made a very fair presentation of this case. I am interested in this dispute both as a trade unionist and because the *Vancouver Province*, a Southam newspaper, published in my own city, is involved in the dispute. I would urge the minister to do everything that can be done in the circumstances.

The point raised by the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre as to dictation from the international head office is a most peculiar one for the Southam company to raise. There are several international unions in every printing shop. There is the typographical union, the pressmen's union, the stereotypers' union, the mailers' union. All of these are separate craft unions. The publishers in Vancouver who are accusing international headquarters of dictating to the typographical union appealed to the head office of the stereotypers and pressmen to order the men back to work. The Southams just cannot have it both ways. If it is wrong for the typographical union to dictate to its members, it is equally wrong for the pressmen's international officers to dictate to the pressmen and for the stereotypers' international office to dictate to the stereotypers. We must be reasonable in these matters. So I just add my voice to the excellent plea which the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre has made, that the minister use