

## TWO HUNDRED CHICKS AND MORE COMING.

Mr. W. McNeil, writes us under date of May 15th : "Yours to hand and should have answered you before this but I have been so very busy shipping birds and eggs. This has been my best season yet ; I have more orders in than I can fill ; I have out 200 chicks, the eggs are hatching well. Enclosed you will find amount of your account, and am sorry for keeping you out of the money so long, and hope all the readers of the REVIEW pay as promptly as I do, as we can't get along without the REVIEW."

THE *British Fancier*,

of London, England, in its issue of May 17th, reprints Mr. T. A. Duff's description of a Minorca, which appeared in last REVIEW.

## IS YOUR SUBSCRIPTION PAID ?

Enclosed in this REVIEW will be found accounts for those who have not yet paid their subscriptions. We should be glad of a liberal response, as we need all the money we can get in now.

## MR. G. M. HAVEN, TORONTO,

informs us that he has been able to sell every egg he could spare from his Minorcas, and all but four sittings he traced directly to his advertisement in REVIEW.

## MR. MASSIE WRITES US :

"Mr. E. B. Cale, Stratford, was in town last week, and purchased my 1st prize Port Hope white Wyandotte cock. He is a grand good bird, and scored well at the Ontario (96). Also had a visit to-day from Mr. J. H. Paton, Toronto, and showed him around several of the yards. Mr. Paton is a genuine fancier, and, as every one knows, has bred fancy poultry for a great many years. In fact have had a perfect rush of visitors the past two or three weeks, including Mr. Knowlson, the great Cocker Spaniel breeder, Detroit ; Mr. Fred Addison, Toronto ; Dr. Earl, Rochester ; T. D. Murphy, Hamilton, and W. A. Hoover, of Williamsport, Pa."

## REVIEW WANTED.

We find we need a copy of REVIEW of March, 1891, to complete our office file. If any reader has a spare copy he would confer a favor on us by sending it along.

## MR. JNO. J. LENTON, OF OSHAWA,

appears to have got himself into a pretty pickle, the cause of which is contained in the following paragraph printed

over his name in two United States poultry papers for May: "On the same authority we have it that the Redcap cockerel that won 1st at Port Hope, has been sold to ten different men, and yet the exhibitor has him in his yard to-day. That's ahead of Yankee tricks—eleven different men owning the same bird ! No wonder the country is full of first-class stock. Of all the roguery in the poultry business, that beats anything we ever heard tell of before. The original and present owner of this bird was the active partner of the firm that *got left* at Coburg." Reference to REVIEW for February, of this year, shows that Mr. F. H. Brown, of Port Hope, won first on Redcap cockerel, and in same issue it is plainly stated that Mr. C. J. Daniels bought the bird in question. We understand that Mr. Brown has issued a writ for libel against Mr. Lenton, so, as the case is now before the courts, we refrain at present from further comment. We await the result with interest.

## WE ARE SURPRISED

that our contemporaries would allow such an item to appear in their columns without first taking steps to prove the assertion.

## THE ONTARIO PRIZE LIST.

But one more letter has come to hand on this subject, from Mr. R. Oke, an extract from which is as follows : "Re Mr. Graham's letter in REVIEW some time ago. It was the most absurd letter I ever read. The idea of classing Hamburgs as ornamental, they being about as good a laying fowl as we have to-day, bar none; just because he does not breed them, I suppose. I believe he is in the tree business. I wonder does he dictate to the farmers what kind of apple trees they should plant. I guess not." We wish, briefly as possible, to state our views, without rancour or bias of any kind. As we have more than once stated we cannot for a moment allow that the Government or any part of it exists for one section of a community alone. The grant is given by the people through their agents—the Department of Agriculture in this instance. Who shall definitely define what branch of agriculture, art, or science shall be cultivated and assisted, to the exclusion of other sections? If but what we can eat is worthy of fostering, then the Government money expended in painting, voice culture, the higher education, is wasted. We claim that whatever is of use as a money maker for its producer, whatever even conduces to the comfort, happiness, and well being of the people, is worthy of a Government's care and attention ; and those sections in the list which come under Mr. Graham's ban are