

# INDEX

## TO THE PRINCIPAL MATTERS IN THE ELEVENTH VOLUME OF THE LOWER CANADA JURIST.

COMPILED BY

STRACHAN BETHUNE, Q. C.

|   | PAGE |
|---|------|
| ACTION, ( <i>cause of</i> )— <i>Vide CAPIAS AD RESPONDENTIUM.</i>   |      |
| ADMIRALTY.—When a collision occurs, in consequence of deficiency of look-out and management on board, and not solely from any fault or neglect of the Pilot in charge, the owner of the vessel in fault cannot claim exemption from liability for the damage caused by the collision, on the ground that he was compelled to have a Pilot on board. (The 'Secret,' V. A. C.)  | 294  |
| ADVOCATE.—An, cannot recover from his client on a mere <i>quodcumque meruit</i> and verbal evidence of value of services, the amount of a fee claimed by him over and above the amount of his taxed basis. (Grindard, appellant, and Burroughs, respondent, Q. B.)  | 215  |
| APPEAL.—An, from a judgment dismissing an inscription <i>en faveur</i> on a defense en droit, cannot be sued out <i>de plaine</i> , but must be moved for as in the case of an interlocutory judgment. (Beaudry, appellant, and the Mayor, &c., of Montreal, respondent, Q. B.)   | 27   |
| —The practice of attorneys <i>ad litem</i> to certify the copies of writs of appeal is justified by long usage and will not be disturbed. (Morrison et al., appellants, and Dambourges et al., respondents, Q. B.)  | 126  |
| —An, made within eight days from the rendering of a judgment which is subject to revision, is premature. (Beaulieu, appellant, and Charlton, respondent, Q. B.)   | 297  |
| BORNAGE.—If in a deed conveying land the description of the land intended to be conveyed is couched in such ambiguous terms that it is very doubtful what were intended to be the boundaries of the land, and the language of the description equally admits of two different constructions, the one making the quantity conveyed agree with the quantity mentioned in the deed, and the other making the quantity altogether different, the former construction must prevail. (Herrick, appellant, and Sixby, respondent, P. C.) | 129  |
| CAPIAS AD RESPONDENTIUM.—In the case of a, issued for the recovery of the value of certain United States Government securities, alleged to be the property  |      |