reveal that I supported the view that we had to take a look at NATO in the light of the obligations that face us in 1965, and not those which faced us in 1949; and that Canada recognized there was a continuing need for this defensive alliance that has contributed so much to the preservation of at least the relative peace that prevails in the world today. I was simply making an appeal and saying that in making this alliance work, we had to recognize that we were thereby giving up some of our national control in the common interest of the peace of the world, and that other countries in the alliance should be encouraged to take a similar course.

CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION

VANCOUVER-POSSIBLE USE OF FORMER R.C.A.F. BASE

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Harold E. Winch (Vancouver East): Mr. Speaker, I should like to direct a question to the Minister of Northern Affairs and National Resources in his position as a cabinet minister from the city of Vancouver. I had the opportunity of a few informal words with the minister yesterday and would therefore like to ask this question.

In view of the importance to Vancouver of this matter, what is the present status of the former R.C.A.F. base, with regard to which there is a request from Vancouver for use as park land, and from the C.B.C. a request for many months for accommodation. In view of the importance of this matter to Vancouver, could the minister now give the house some exposition as to the present position?

Hon. Arthur Laing (Minister of Northern Affairs and National Resources): Mr. Speaker, the hon. member is of course referring to the proposal to transfer the C.B.C. from its present location in downtown Vancouver to the Kitsilano area formerly used by the R.C.A.F. The present position is that for some time the C.B.C. has been investigating the requirement they would have in respect of the length of their tenure there, in order to make such a move from Georgia street to Kitsilano. I would ask the hon. member to allow me to take the substance of his question as notice, and I will endeavour to speak to my colleague who is responsible and make a policy statement next week, or he will make a policy statement then.

Inquiries of the Ministry

Mr. Winch: Mr. Speaker, could I ask a supplementary question?

Some hon. Members: Order paper.

Mr. Winch: This is not a matter for the order paper; it is far too important to Vancouver. I would ask the minister whether, as a result of his inquiries, he will be able to comment on the application of the city of Vancouver and the Vancouver park commissioners for park allocation.

Some hon. Members: Order.

Mr. Laing: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

DOMINION-PROVINCIAL RELATIONS

REFERENCE BY QUEBEC MINISTER TO CULTURAL EXCHANGE WITH FRANCE

On the orders of the day: [Translation]

Mr. Gilles Grégoire (Lapointe): Mr. Speaker, I should like to direct a question to the Secretary of State for External Affairs.

Could he make today the statement he promised last Tuesday as to whether the federal government protested to the government of the province of Quebec because Hon. Paul Gérin-Lajoie, Quebec minister of education, qualified as an "international entente" the entente on education entered into between the French government and the provincial government of Quebec?

Hon. Paul Martin (Secretary of State for External Affairs): Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The other day when the hon. member for Lapointe asked whether the federal government had protested to the government of the province of Quebec concerning the term "international entente" used to describe the exchange and co-operation policy in the field of education adopted in Paris last Saturday, I did say that I would make a statement today in that connection.

No doubt the hon. member for Lapointe read the comprehensive answer I gave on this very matter in reply to a question put by the hon. member for Edmonton West (Mr. Lambert), as reported on page 11818 of *Hansard* for Monday, March 1, 1965.

I simply wish to add that the term "entente" was only used after the provincial authorities concerned consulted with my department and obtained its consent. As far as the word "international" is concerned, it is justified under the circumstances by the ex-