

ceived it at Mr. Holliday's, a saddler in Perth, where the papers are left by the Printer for his son, who is a subscriber to the paper: he marked the paper and knows it to be the same, received it the day it was printed.

[Here the Libel was produced and read.]

Andrew Dickson, Esq., re-called and examined by Mr. Draper,—In all the conversations you had with Mr. Cameron, did he ever allude to himself, as being the author of the article signed, "O. P. Q."?

Thinks there was a marked reservation when speaking upon the subject.

The same question was again repeated.

Witness—I cannot call to memory any allusion made by him to me! I have received letters from him!

Mr. Draper—Will you swear that you have no knowledge that the defendant is the author?

Witness—I cannot swear that!

Judge—Did Mr. Cameron at any time desire you to have it published in the Bathurst Courier?

I cannot say that he did, My Lord!

Mr. Draper—From anything the defendant said to you, do you know the article was published in the Bathurst Courier?

It may have been published by his consent?

Question. Can you say upon your oath, whether it was, or was not published with his consent?

Answer. Possibly it might have been published with my consent!

Question. Do you know if the defendant assented to its being put into any person's hands for publication?

Answer. I am not aware, from his conversations, that he knew of its publication?

Question. Did he say anything to you about the publication signed "O. P. Q."?

Answer. I recollect nothing but what might criminate myself.

Question. Did you ever hear the Defendant say it was to be published?

Answer. I cannot say that I did.

Question. Now on your oath, Mr. Dickson, cannot you say that he assented to the publication?

Answer. I am at a loss to give an answer, lest it should lead me into trouble.

Roderick Matheson, Esq., sworn and examined by Mr. Steele. Has read the article in the Bathurst Courier, signed "O. P. Q." Has also read the article in the Examiner, signed "J. T." and has also read Mr. Morris' reply in the Derpatch. These articles were calculated, in his opinion, to injure Mr. Morris in the esteem of those who did not know him.

Sutton Frizzle, sworn and examined by Mr. Steele. He has read the article signed "O. P. Q." Understood the allusion in that article to the "ghost of James Robertson," to mean the former publication, signed "James Robertson." Understood the innuendoes in the libel, to allude to Mr. Morris.

Cross-examined by Mr. J. H. Cameron.—Did you observe no distinction in the two names?

Yes, but I supposed it to be a mistake of the types; one was signed James Robinson, the other, James Robertson.

Roderick Mattheson, Esq., re-called. Understood the innuendoes to allude to Mr. Morris—understood them to impute fraud to Mr. Morris.

Cross-examined by Mr. Radenhurst. Do you know whether Mr. Haggart felt himself aggrieved?