| The average duty paid price of raw centrifugals in Montreal in 1905, was (Brought forward) | 3.307 |
|--|---------|
| The average net price of granulated in Montreal in 1905, was | 4.829 |
| The average difference between raw and refined in Mont- real in 1905, was | 1.522 |
| Deduct cost of refining, say | .500 |
| Allow the Montreal refiners the extra concession I gave | \$1.022 |
| them in my original statement, for cost of management, selling expenses, etc. | .125 |
| Leaving the Montreal refiners for clear net profit on the average of the year; proving the "Actual protection" to be | .897 |
| Deduct legitimate profit on the cost to the Montreal refiners of granulated from raws at 3.307 duty paid | |
| Plus cost of refining, etc., too liberal an allowance | |
| Total average cost of granulated to Montreal refiners in 1905 3.932, say, 7½ p.c. profit | .295 |
| Leaving excess profit to Montreal refiners of | .602 |

Multiply the average quantity of raw sugar consumed in Canada, say, 350,000,000 lbs., by 60 1-5c per 100 lbs., and you get \$2,107,000, which will prove how far out I was in saying in my original statement, p. 14. that, "the people of Canada are paying annually as toll to the sugar refiners, in straight protection, nearly \$2,000,000, over and above legitimate profits."

It will, therefore, be clear to you that, by raising the duty on raw sugar, as I have suggested, to get, say, \$650,000 to \$675,000 a year additional revenue, the refiners will still be, as I said in my original statement, "in the chosen class with more gold than they can know how to pend."