

which concerns the third paragraph of the draft motion, namely, that the House "take up the report stage of the said bill on Monday, June 28, 1976". As I understand the situation, that is quite within the rules of the House and it can be done on that short basis, but it is not within the common courtesies of the House. As I understand the courtesies of the House, if the draft followed what has been the normal custom of the House it would read in this way: "... take up the report stage of the said bill on Tuesday, June 29, 1976". This would give the time the hon. member for Edmonton West suggested for filing report stage amendments in the normal course of things.

Quite frankly, Mr. Speaker, I hope the government will give consideration to that, if I may speak as a private member. It might be that with that kind of consideration the position taken by the hon. member for Edmonton West would be satisfied, and perhaps the feelings of other members on this matter would be soothed somewhat. I believe there would be time for this consideration to be given, and perhaps we could deal with the matter later.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. By previous agreement, two deferred divisions are to be taken almost forthwith. I think the House is agreed that both divisions will be taken one after the other, with only one calling in of the members. That will require some time.

Despite some technical objections, there seems to be a general will to accommodate members by not sitting on Friday so long as the rights of members who wish to file amendments at the report stage are not in any way prejudiced. The hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre has made the point that technically the situation remains the same as if the House sits on Friday, but as the hon. member for Edmonton West pointed out, members who wish to file amendments will have to stay here on Friday if the House does not sit. It does seem to disadvantage those members if the House does not sit on Friday. Obviously, they would have to stay here to file amendments if the report does come forward, which is an eventuality that does seem unreasonable in view of the uncertainty. They, alone, would be the ones who would have to wait here if they wished to file amendments. I think technically we could probably make the arrangements at the table, but it does seem to put some members who wish to file amendments at report stage under an extra burden.

Perhaps during the ringing of the division bells and the taking of the vote which is about to be taken forthwith there might be some further discussion to see whether the motion could be refined in order to accomplish this purpose. Is that agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

* * *

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. J.-J. Blais (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the following 30 ques-

Order Paper Questions

tions will be answered today: 4,187, 4,192 to 4,213 inclusive, 4,795, 5,203, 5,410, 5,426, 5,466, 5,534 and 5,637.

[Text]

PSYCHIATRISTS—SASKATCHEWAN FARM ANNEX

Question No. 4,187—Mr. Hnatyshyn:

For the years 1970 to 1975, what was the number of (a) full-time (b) part-time psychiatrists at Saskatchewan Farm Annex and, in each case, what were their (i) educational qualifications (ii) previous work experiences in Canada and abroad (iii) salaries?

Mr. Hugh Poulin (Parliamentary Secretary to Solicitor General): Nil.

ESCAPES—ONTARIO REGIONAL PSYCHIATRIC CENTRE

Question No. 4,192—Mr. Hnatyshyn:

For the years 1970 to 1975, what was the number of escapes at Ontario Regional Psychiatric Centre and how many are still at large?

Mr. Hugh Poulin (Parliamentary Secretary to Solicitor General):

	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
Escapes	1	1	—	—	—	—
Walkaways	—	—	—	—	—	—
Failure to return from Temporary Leave of Absence with escort	—	1	—	—	—	—
without escort	—	1	—	—	—	—
Total	1	3	—	—	—	—
At large (December 31, 1975): Nil						

ESCAPES—PACIFIC REGIONAL PSYCHIATRIC CENTRE

Question No. 4,193—Mr. Hnatyshyn:

For the years 1970 to 1975, what was the number of escapes at Pacific Regional Psychiatric Centre and how many are still at large?

Mr. Hugh Poulin (Parliamentary Secretary to Solicitor General):

	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
Escapes	—	—	—	—	1	—
Walkaways	—	—	—	—	—	—
Failure to return from Temporary Leave of Absence with escort	—	—	—	—	—	—
without escort	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	1	—
At large (December 31, 1975): Nil						

ESCAPES—MILLHAVEN

Question No. 4,194—Mr. Hnatyshyn:

For the years 1970 to 1975, what was the number of escapes at Millhaven Institution and how many are still at large?

Mr. Hugh Poulin (Parliamentary Secretary to Solicitor General):