



CANADA'S OLDEST OFFICIAL STUDENT PUBLICATION

Vol. 72, No. 2 EXTRA

FREDERICTON, N.B., MONDAY, OCTOBER 20th, 1952

Price 9 cents per copy

EXTRA

EXCHANGE REJECTED?

LAVAL EXERTS PRESSURE TO FORCE VOTE REVISION

The proceedings of the annual con-ference of the National Federation of the preceding discussion on the original of the issue remains to be seen, but it is not likely that the has exploded into a remarkable exam- as it originally stood, they would secede ple of political skullduggery similar to from the Union. Two other delegations, that being currently uncovered in var- Ottawa and Dalhousie also issued this ious governmental departments of the ultimatum

United States. Threats of secession and This affrontery was rebuffed by Sir veritable railroading led the delegates George Williams College and also comto neatly sidestep the vital issues behind mented on by Toronto. The ensuing the proposed Russian-Canadian student confusion had some seven or eight deleexchange by considering a watered-down gations, including U.N.B.'s decide to version which by-stepped both the prin-ciple involved and the question itself. alter their vote and turn down the pro-posal. The British Columbia delegation

Denis Lazure made the invitation finally came up with the amendment while in Europe in the summer of 1951 which effectively killed all hope of aand returned to the N.F.C.U.S. annual chieving the exchange by inserting the conference in London last year for ap-proval of his action. The motion for power over the scheme, if it threatens approval was hotly debated at that time to secede. Since Laval and her allies and U.N.B. along with five other uni- had already issued an ultimatum to the versities voted in favour of the motion. session threatening to secede, the mo-The principle only was up for vote and financial details were not under calling for defeat of the proposal. The situation presents three distinct

consideration. U.N.B.'s support was based on the argument that if finances facets for U.N.B. students. were available, the move would be of FIRST, the principle of the exchange, practical value in proving our good inwhich Laval and its followers have ob tentions to the eastern student bloc jected to on grounds of patriotism acand in furthering mutual understandcording to all reports.

ing among the international student SECOND, the failure of the U.N.B., body. The motion was lost in spite of the efforts of several delegates to establish the worthwhile project. be nullified.

It was clearly stated at the time that THIRD, the abuse of democratic in order to spread Communism in used by Laval and the effect of such to change our way of life? The idea is preposterous ! Canada. U.N.B.'s participation in sup- moves on the Federation.

port was based on a genuine interest The first of these has been explained cratic principles by a greater understand-ing with all nations of the world. The portant because our delegates were at-

EDITORIAL

The Background of

the Exchange

A MISLEADING VOTE . . .

The final vote of the N.F.C.U.S. Conference has in effect rejected Proposal the Russian exchange. Whether or not this is the last we shall hear

In the mid-September meeting of Canadian University Students at Laval motion, that should it have been passed students of this country will be satisfied with a decision that N.F.C.U.S. in 1951 a proposed exchange between twelve or fifteen Canadian and conflicts with the views of the majority of universities. Other Russian students met with some stiff university students are in the same position as U.N.B.—they are opposition from most of the universities attending the Conference. At this time in favor of the move, but their representatives allowed a compro- however, six universities voted for the scheme mise vote which effectively killed hope of exchange.

The reasons for Laval's sudden and belligerent about-face have ence at which the exchange was renot yet come to light, nor have the reasons why they were allowed, jected, student bodies across Canada by the other universities represented, to influence such great power over the Conference as to change its first affirmative decision of Student Councils throughout the country 16-4 to one of 11-8 with a crippling stipulation. The first vote tells voted on two questions, if they were the real story of the way in which Canadian students feel about the from 12 to 15 students visiting Canissue. In this vote the representatives voted the way their student ada for about three weeks, and if they councils had decided. The second vote came after Laval threatened of Canadian students visiting Russia for the same length of time. to pull a "Gromyko Act"

The idea of the Russian exchange has brought to light across the country the question, "Why should we entertain these students?" one by one, universities started to give Fears of all kinds of subversive actions have been expressed. An their approval of the plan. The Uniedtiorial in last year's Athenaeum (Acadia) spoke of the damage the proposal at the first meeting, rethey can do while in the country. How much damage can a group versed their decision by a student vote. Bishop's College reaffirmed its affirmaof people do which is travelling from coast to coast in the short live nod given at the Conference with structed on this issue, by allowing it to space of about three weeks? Are we Canadians afraid that we are a student plebiscite. The University that susceptible to propaganda of any sort that a day or so spent both at the Conference and later at the motion did not represent a front procedure as indicated in the methods with representatives of a different governmental system is going a student body count.

The exchange could do nothing but show these visitors a cross- feated last September, issued a personal in contributing to aid our own demo-above and needs no further comment section of the country, show them life under a democracy, and invitation to four Russian students to further international understanding. That is one of the aims of

Immediately following this Confer-

began to change, re-affirm or debate their stands regarding the proposal. in favour of a Russian delegation of were in favour of an equal number

College newspapers across the country started vigorous campaigns, and, versity of Toronto, which had vetoed

The University of British Columbia, when the formal exchange was deto the Soviet Minister of Higher Edu-

exchange, it was felt, would provide tempting to act in the best interests of an opportunity for Canadians to meet the students by keeping the federation with and attempt to properly under- intact. However, it seems they have overlooked the implications of the

stand Russian students of today. In the months that followed, student third point. Our representatives attemptopinion across Canada led to a demand ed to act in a spirit of compromise bu were many new supporters of the by Laval. Laval and its followers have chosen move and before the present conference acceptance of the proposal was to serve an ultimatum, which might be

assured. At the same time, N.F.C.U.S. sud- ada to obtain rejection of a proposal

international field and consequently ly signified as being favorable. In other energy and activity was diverted from words, N.F.C.U.S. no longer is a nataffairs at home. While U.N.B. approv- ional union but becomes a mouthpiece ed the Russian exchange, this change for the interests of a sector of its

in policy from domestic to foreign af- membership. fairs could not be approved because of The reasons for Laval's actions are the precarious position N.F.C.U.S. holds vague. Basically we understand they on this and many other campii. That think the exchange to be un-Canadian is, until N.F.C.U.S. is able to provide To our minds, nothing could be more greater service to Canadians at home, false. Canada's participation in the it was felt impossible to provide greater United Nations and the respected posi support for international actvities. At tion which she now holds in the world the Maritime Conference last spring (much greater than most other western U.N.B. initiated a strong and lengthy nations, according to this writer's ex motion calling for a reversal of this perience) indicate that we are not new policy and for greater and con- isolationists. Nor are we attempting to create a strong boundary between the centrated efforts at home.

was to continue our support for the ex- and has been amply stated by our key change but to seek change in policy of statesmen.

the organization to conform with the We agree N.F.C.U.S. should be more terms of its constitution.

ately showed that students across Can- suggestion.

ada share this same attitude. The entire flavor of the conference was "national" rather than "international"

the principle . . . insofar as if shall unity of Canadian students. not cause any member of the Federation

to revise its relationship with N.F.

C.U.S" This was passed by a vote of | This is United Nations Week.

N.F.C.U.S. . . . better understanding among students of the entire cation, did not work out, although at world.

The S.R.C. of this university has expressed its extreme disapproval approved the national exchange and of the turn the Conference had taken. Last Thursday they tried for reversal of the stand taken at the they have ignored the unfortunate and to reach S.R.C. President Bob Spurway and N.F.C.U.S. Chairman of the International Activities conference. U.N.B. found that there unprincipled method thrust upon them Colin Harrowing, and instruct them to stick to their original de- Commission of N.F.C.U.S., stated "if cision, but the vote had already been taken. It is unfortunate (Canadian student representatives) that that we, while voting in favour of the Exchange which the Council a Soviet visit should be undertaken, titled blackmail, on the students of Canheartily endorses, at the same time saw hopes of it vanish and our

denly expanded its entire activity in the which these same students had previous- only plan of action is to express across Canada our real feelings to- manner. ward the exchange. We can rest assured that we will not be the only ones to raise a protest against this vote.

TELEGRAM SENT TO N.F.C.U.S. REPRESENTATIVES AT LAVAL THURSDAY NIGHT

COUNCIL STRONGLY DISAPPROVES YOUR STAND RUSSIAN EXCHANGE AS REPORTED ACADIA'S MATHESON CANADIAN UNIVERSITY PRESS AND CFNB. REQUEST YOU ATTEMPT REOPEN MATTER IF CLOSED AND REAFFIRM COUNCIL'S ORIGINAL STAND, AND DEMAND REASONS FOR LAVAL'S ACTION AND DEPLORE TO CONFERENCE THAT FEW SHOULD INFLUENCE DEMO-CRATIC PROCEEDINGS OF NATIONAL STUDENT CONFERENCE.

The effect of these various events East and the West. The opposite is true FROSH, SOPHS, S.R.C. TO PAY ALLEY DAMAGES

Thursday's S.R.C. meeting also saw definite steps taken ot reimburse Mr. Deep for the damage done to his bowling alleys during Freshman Week. After nationally inclined, but the value of the acceptanc of the report of the special committee set up to investigate the Little comment was received on this such an exchange plus the fact that entire matter and make recommendations as to S.R.C. action, the following proposal but the opening sessions of the invitation has been accepted pro motions were passed: that four hundred dollars be offered Mr. Deep as complete the Laval Conference last week immedi- tem leads us to heavily support the and final payment of the damage incurred and that each Freshman and Sophomore be assessed one dollar to pay for the damages, the remainder to be paid

Whatever the reasons for Laval's by the S.R.C. (This motion was carried on a vote of 8-7.) A further motion actions, and there have been many restricting all future Freshman Week activities to the campus was defeated, and speculations on this point, a concise followed by a motion that no more street parades or street dances be held

The Quebec conference presented a statement should be forthcoming from down town during that Week. The Council passed this motion. It was also distasteful scene. The final motion for their Student Council, the A.G.E.L., voted to set up a committee to investigate the Freshman Week activities in approval of the exchange contained a to explain why they feel they have the other Canadian universities, and try to work out a better system for U.N.B. in gram from Moscow, signed by the Antirider stating "the Conference approves right to attempt to destroy the national the future. This committee will be set up following the Freshmon elections Fascist Committee of Soviet Youth, and will be composed of a member from each of the five classes.

Further details of the meeting and the Special Committe's report will appear in the regular issue of the Brunswickan this Thursday.

the time of the N.F.C.U.S. Conference the Russian student body involved was highly in favour.

In a report this week, Syd Wax, the visit can be arranged in a very short time and in a most efficient

He also told of correspondence with the Canadian Government regarding the exchange, and said "It would appear from their replies that the Department of External Affairs would not oppose such a visit provided it did not take place under Communist auspices."

This, then, was the situation when the N.F.C.U.S. Conference took its first vote on the question at the Conference last week. In favour of the Russians visiting here were eleven universities, with four against and five abstentions. In favour of Canadian students going to Russia were ten universities, with four against and six abstentions.

Acadia, Dalhousie, Bishop's, Mt. Allison and U.N.B. favoured the visits, but suggested that the number to be exchanged be reduced. Manitoba favoured the principle of the exchange, but said they would vote against it if any of the universities threatened to withdraw because if it. Laval voted against the plan, and added that the unity of N.F.C.U.S. was threatened. McGill also cast their vote in favour of the exchange.

The Conference also received a telewhich stated that the Russians favoured "exchange of student delegations between different countries os a reci-

procal basis."