And on the third reading of the bill on the

Mr. HABTMAN moved, seconded by Mr. Chris-THE. That the bill be recommitted to a Committee of the whole House, with a view to arrange the electoral divisions so as to embrace within each, as nearly as practicable, an equal population, and without regard to a division line between Upper and Lower Canada.

This amendment, although supported by Messrs. Brown, Christie, and twenty other Upper Canada members, was not carried.

Il representation by population were right in 1856, was it not equally right in 1865? But it might be said that the union was to be a federal one, whereas it was no such thing. It was neither federal nor legislative, but a mongrel between both. If the representation had been properly arranged, there would have been no necessity for honorable members vacating their seats. In that case, Upper Canada would have had 30, Lower Canada 24, and the Lower Provinces 18. Yesterday the Honorable Commissioner of Crown Lands had given reasons for abolishing the elective principle as applied to this House; but not over a year ago he had lauded the system, and he (Hon. Mr. CURRIE) had not heard the life members say a word in opposition. The system had got a fair trial of eight years, and had proved satisfactory, and would a few selfconstituted delegates, with a dash of the pen, destroy that which had received the sanction of the country? He was never sent to this House to vote away, its constitution—(hear, hear)—and before endorsing any such proposition he would wish to go to his constituents, and if they arid yes, he would not oppose-(hear, hear) but without that permission, he was not going to give a vote which might have the effect of giving him his seat for life. (Hear, hear.) He had heard of Lower Canada domination, but if this was the first taste of eastern domination, he wished no more of it. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-It was not a peculiarity of Canada, but the judgment of

the whole Conference. (Hear.)

- Hon. Ma. CURRIE—He then presumed it was not the proposition of the honorable member that the seat the people had given him should be given to the Crown; but it seemed he had passed under the domination of the Lower Provinces. (Laughter:) In 1849, the Legislature had made provision for the support of common schools in Canada, and had set aside one million acres of the best lands for that noble purpose. The lands, all

situate in Upper Canada, had been sold, and a fund of a million and a quarter accumulated, but with another stroke of the pen this. too, was to be scored out. In 1862, the Government of the day had brought down a bill to amend the Separate School Act of Upper Canada, and without expressing an cpinion as to its merits, he might say it had. produced a very strong feeling of indignation. A mass meeting was held in Toronto to condemn the bill, and the people were so exasperated that they had called upon certain members of the Government to resign. Other meetings were held, viz.:-

Meeting at Harrington, North Oxford, 25th March, 1863:

Resolved,-That the Hon. W. MACDOUGALL has betrayed the interests of his constituents for the sake of office.

Meeting at East Nissouri, 6th April, 1863

Resolved, That this meeting, while viewing the manner in which the Hon. WM. MACDOUGALL has betrayed the interests of his constituents in supporting Mr. Scorr's Separate School Bill, belives it to be his duty to resign his seat in the Provincial Parliament as member for the North Riding of Oxford."

He had read these resolutions to show the feeling which then prevailed, and he might have quoted articles to prove that the measure was regarded as a most iniquitous one. He would give one or two from the Globe:-

We can hardly believe that a government based on the double majority, will permit an alteration in our common school system in defiance of the vote of an Upper Canadian majority.

March 20th. The prospects of Mr. Scorr's bill in the Upper House are not very bright. When it was brought up from the Assembly, nobody rose to move the first reading, and Sir ETIENNE TACHE, who, it will be remembered, introduced this last Upper Canada Separate School Bill, which passed into law, was about to assume this responsibility, when Mr. McCara, the newly elected Councillor for the Western Division, came to the rescue.

The Speaker then very improperly suggested Mr. AIRIES as the seconder, an office which the member for the Home Division promptly declined. No one else appearing, Mr. Letellies, a French Canadian, seconded the motion. This is French domination with a vengeance. We are not as-This is French tonished to find that there is a disposition to give the bill strong opposition, regardless of the con-sequences to the government.

April 11.—The bill passed the second reading in the Legislative Council, 11 to 13 from Upper

Canada. In spite of every temptation, Unper Canada stands true to her school system. I he bill may pass as other infamies have passed our Legisla-