

Council. Your Committee would recommend that the lot of Mr. Asher be accepted at price offered him by resolution while in Committee of whole viz : \$1500—providing always that the lot be accepted by the Government, as the most suitable and convenient, being corner lots and nearest the County Buildings, which advantages are particularly mentioned in the Government Specifications under "selection of site."

Your Committee caused to be inserted in County papers, also in the Daily Globe and Mail, notice of receiving tenders for the erection of a Registry Office, according to Plans and Specifications furnished by the Government, and the result of such notice was the following tenders :

| | |
|--|---------|
| No. 1, P. W. Corcoran, for the sum of..... | \$7300, |
| " 2, Henry Spence, " " | 6200, |
| " 3, William Mansell, " " | 6225, |
| " 4, John Damp, " " | 6368, |
| " 5, Benj. Schooley, " " | 6769, |
| " 6, Thos. L. Nichols, " " | 4973, |
| " 7, Nelson Carter, " " | 3924, |
| " 8, John Drew, " " | 6923, |
| " 9, M. Vanderburgh, " " | 4673, |

Your Committee, after receiving the above tenders according to notice up to the 1st April, inst., did on the 19th inst., receive from Nelson Carter, the lowest tenderer above mentioned, a communication stating that in making out his tender, he had overlooked some part of the work, which would make a difference in his tender of \$450, which sum added to the amount of his tender of \$3924 would equal \$4374, which sum he proposes to take the contract for and complete the same according to plans and specifications.

Your Committee would say that considering the position of the tenders at present, with Mr. Carter's increase, they do not consider, in justice to the other tenderers, that the tender of Mr. Carter should be considered,

And your Committee would therefore recommend the tender of Mr. Vanderburgh being accepted, providing the contract is let.