

*Canadian Livestock Feed Board*On clause 10—*Bylaws.*

anywhere where there is surplus grain. I cannot see why the minister should insist on curtailing this to a very narrow concept, which will work hardship on the area I have the honour to represent.

Mr. Sauvé: I do not want to restrict the broad interpretation of clause 8(a). The intention under clause 6(a) was to assist in the transportation of feed grain and corn, but if the board were to become a broker my interpretation was that it would deal through an agent of the Wheat Board. The way clause 8 is drafted can well give rise to the hon. member's interpretation. It gives more scope to the board, but I shall have to review the matter carefully. I have no other explanation other than the one which I have given, honestly. Maybe the text justifies what the hon. member is saying. If that is so I have no objection to his interpretation, but I do not think that was the original intention.

● (5:40 p.m.)

Mr. Danforth: May I offer a solution to the minister. I appreciate he is in some difficulty on this point and I too am much concerned about it. May I suggest that he might have an opportunity to discuss this with his legal officers and give consideration to my representations in respect of the interpretation. I am not leading up to a request that this clause stand, as some hon. members may think. I am hopeful that before third reading of the bill the minister and I may have an opportunity to confer on this. In this way perhaps the difficulty may be resolved. In respect of an equalized subsidy on Ontario corn, I may say that if we cannot sell it, it does not mean a thing.

Mr. Rapp: Mr. Chairman, I would suggest to the minister that perhaps this item might stand and that we go on to clauses 9, 10 and following. There is only 15 minutes left.

Mr. Olson: Mr. Chairman, I would not like to agree to that suggestion, primarily because of what the hon. member for Kent (Ont.) has just said. We have a unique situation here of an opposition member being in complete accord with what is in the bill, and having talked the ministre into believing that his interpretation of it is correct.

Clause agreed to.

Clause 9 agreed to.

23033—537

Mr. Danforth: Mr. Chairman, am I to understand the interpretation of clause 10 to be that the board, once it is duly constituted, will establish a set of bylaws for the legal and constitutional conduct of its business, but that before such bylaws are put into effect they must be submitted to the cabinet through the governor in council, and be accepted before they are acted upon.

Mr. Sauvé: That is correct.

Mr. McQuaid: Mr. Chairman, I assume that we have passed clause 9 subject to the amendment we had discussed in respect of clause 6(j).

Mr. Sauvé: The hon. member should stipulate the amendment. I have no objection to the amendment suggested.

Mr. McQuaid: Then, Mr. Chairman, may we revert to clause 9.

The Acting Chairman (Mr. Richard): Is it agreed that we revert to clause 9?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Clause 10 stands.

On clause 9—*Board agent of Her Majesty.*

Mr. McQuaid: My amendment is in respect of subclause (2) of clause 9. After the words "The Board may, on behalf of Her Majesty," insert the words "or on behalf of any minister of the crown" and, after the words "enter into contracts" delete the words "in the name of Her Majesty" and insert the words "in their names".

Mr. Sauvé: The amendment as drafted originally was better.

The Acting Chairman (Mr. Richard): Will the hon. member please submit his amendment in writing.

Mr. Olson: In the meantime, Mr. Chairman, could we stand clause 9 until we have dealt with the other clauses.

Mr. Sauvé: Yes. Would the hon. member prepare his amendment. I agree with the substance of it; it is just a matter of the drafting.

Clause 9 stands.

Clauses 10 and 11 agreed to.