JUNE 29, 1944.

Questions

Mr. MACDONALD (Halifax):	halloon tora		
1 and 2. Military district or command	Letters despatched	Replies received	No. of
Ontario— Borden	Nil	No next-of-kin residing in area	Nil
Petawawa	30	7	Nil
M.D. 1—See note (a) below	Nil	Nil	Nil
M.D.2	70	7	1
M.D.2	40	5	1
Quebec-	-	055	1.477
M.D.4	2,727	355	147
M.D.5 Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island—	1,348	300	130
M.D.6	160	112	96
New Brunswick—	1.668	83	87
M.D.7 Manitoba—	1,008	00	01
* M.D.10—See note (c) below	593	147	66
British Columbia— Pacific Command	180	41	15
		State Manufactures	
Saskatchewan— M.D. 12	250	93	100
Alberta— M.D. 13	438	190	135
Totals	7,504	1,340	778
Note			See note (d) below.

(a) The details respecting each absentee or deserter in M.D. 1 are being checked. Pending such check no letters have been dispatched from that district.

(b) A small part of northwestern Quebec is in M.D. 3.

(c) A small part of northwestern Ontario is in M.D. 10.

(d) Not included in this number are a number of men who have surrendered since the sending out of these letters. It is not know whether they did so as a result of the letters.

* DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR-R. M. CHARPENTIER

Mr. DORION:

1. Since January 1, 1940, has R. M. Charpen-tier been in the employ of the Department of Labour, or rendered any services to the said department, or received any money from the said department for any reason? 2. If so, (a) when; (b) under what con-ditions; (c) what was his remuneration?

Mr. MARTIN:

1. No.

2. Answered by No. 1.

VETERANS GUARD-RESERVE UNITS

Mr. ROSS (Souris):

1. Are reserve units of the veterans guard being disbanded?

2. If so, as at what date, and for what reasons?

Mr. MACDONALD (Halifax):

1. Yes. Fifteen reserve companies of the veterans guard have been disbanded.

2. They were disbanded effective 15 May, 1944. The reason for the disbandment of these units was that they were either dormant or operating at low strength.

EDDY MATCH COMPANY

Mr. COLDWELL:

1. Has the government granted priorities to the Eddy Match Company for the construction and/or provisions of plant, and/or repairs at Pembroke, Ontario?

2. If so, was any material for which priorities were granted subsequently diverted by the com-pany for actual use at Haileybury, Ontario?

Mr. CHEVRIER:

1. No application has been received from the Eddy Match company for priority assistance involving alteration or construction of premises at any of their plants. An application was received covering the importation from the United States of one angledozer which was required for the building of new roads through pulp wood areas which this com-