

but respect, and although the result may not be direct it will be as bread cast upon the water to return after many days. The time is fast approaching when suffrage will be extended to all of property qualification, regardless of sex. Womanhood has as clearly the right to vote as manhood, and when this is legally recognized I believe prohibition will be speedily gained, and further we trust that women will aid in linking this work hand in hand with that of religion as should ever be, and I am thankful to be able to state that the Society of Friends, as well as others, are fully realizing this fact, and though perhaps in the past they have been less active than they should be, they are now arousing to duty. Let us remember that in unity there is strength as found in organization in stimulating right thought which must ever precede right action, and though what we do may seem comparatively little if it brings about proper action we should take courage and go forward one and all remembering that :

" There is rest alone in duty done  
Peace alone in striving." B. N.

TO THE EDITORS OF THE YOUNG FRIENDS' REVIEW :

The following letter explains itself: Although a private letter, the subject is of universal interest, and I felt it might not be unprofitable to the readers of the Young Friend's Review.

JONATHAN C. PIERCE.

Pleasantville, N. Y., 4th mo., 22, 1887.

The *Tribune* extra, which thee so kindly sent me, is received and partially read—as much so as I have time to read it this busy season. I do not doubt there is much truth in it. Thee knows I am not an apologist for the Administration; I took no stock in the fair words that ushered it in, and I have not been disappointed. I am not interested in these pointless, party squabbles. There is no principle involved but the "ins and outs;" no object but selfishness. But I continue to be interested in temperance. I believe it is just as wrong to sell indulgences now (whether for a high or a low price) as it was in the days of Martin Luther. I believe that in every compromise with evil, the devil comes out vic-

torious. I believe that the Republican party of this State, under the leadership of Sheridan Shook and the other brewers, has made anything but a temperance record; and the *Tribune*, by its persistent promulgation of the "Unholy Alliance" idea, has merited a contempt which thinking people are fast coming to express; at any rate its mean misrepresentations, and the work of the Republican Legislature are active agents for the advancement of prohibition and the making of prohibitionists—which is, as it were, good coming out of evil. Thee sees good may come out of evil, but it does follow it as certainly as reaction follows action.

To refer to the *Tribune* again. I cannot believe that the muddy tirades against prohibitionists were written for intelligent people, but rather to influence the ignorant. Readers who know that such people as Caron M. Powell, John W. Stearns, Mary a Woodbridge, Francis E. Willard, Mary T. Lathrop and many more just as devoted to the right, oppose the Crosby Bill in the name of prohibition, cannot possibly be deceived by the mere assertion of the *Tribune* that prohibition opposition was in the interest of the rum power, or that they received rum money for their influence.

There are only two positions—for rum and against rum. There is no such thing as inaction or neutrality in the universe. Popular opinion doesn't favor prohibition (and consequently we can't have prohibition), because so many people like to stay with the majority. They are afraid to be on the unpopular side, notwithstanding it is right. If this kind of people continue to stay on the popular side with the minority that really want rum (making the majority), how long will it take to create an active "prohibition sentiment?" If the so-called temperance men vote with the rum parties, because the prohibition party is in the minority, when will rum be put down?

The following is from the *Toronto Globe* :

"The vetoing of the Crosby High License Bill, lately passed in the New York Legislature, has stimulated the friends of temperance to take up another admittedly less efficient measure in the hope that they can pass it this session. It was introduced by Senator Vedder, Republican, and is as follows :