Presnt absurd contradictions in speling ar not waranted by either history or reason. Many spelings wer quite arbitrary, as final e in huve, live, solve, cuxe. He traced historicaly how this and other anomalies enterd the language, including comfort, money, donkey, insted of cumfort, muney, dunkey. He was particularly scornful of English peopl for insisting ou $u$ in labour, colour, etc., and oposing its omision as an American inovation. Such words wer speld without u before Columbus was born.

He scoft at objectors as slaves of an idle habit of judging by eye insted of by ear. A word's only tru speling was what most reasonably gave its sound. Base all reform on the corect pronunciation of Latin vowels. In Chaucer's time Latin was speld as pronounced in Italy, and English was then speld so too. Return to this shud be the first step in reform.

## [From a fuller report in Athencum, 12 May.]

For practical purposes, all speling reform is imposibl thru unintelligent hostil action by the pres due to limited number of jurnalists acquainted with fonetics-to be remedid by more general noledge of fonetics. Markt advance wud likely result from adoption in scools of Roman pronunciation of Latin. This wud at once iluminate our perception of ritn symbols and enable us to see their tru historic meaning. Shud sp. ref'm be first efected in America, it may be an advantage since the language's history is nōn more widely there. The president of an American university recently told Prof. Skeat with emfasis and truth: "In our universities English takes first place." This fact an Englishman can hardly understand.

## FOR CONVENTIONAL SELECTION.

Mr Ben(n Pitman, in view of a posibl convention, says (Phonog'c Mag., March) an intelligent laity shud discuss:

1) Arch (in chair, chant, etch) and $j$ (in jeer, jaunt, edge) simpl or compound, tf, dJ?
2) Is u (in mute, union, Utah) yu or iu?
3) Ar a (in mate, ale, fade) and o (in old, four, Rome) simpl or difthonsal?
4) Is when hwen or men?
5) Is'o (in on, not, opposite) identical with but briefer than the vowel in all, awning, naught? Milions of Americans, more especialy in Western States, make this o a very near aproach tia a.
6) Ar the elements of $i$ (in eye, isle, time) ai, ei, oi, or Ai? The Century dictionary and other authorities uze ai.

These points and many like them hav been discust often in these pages. Free and ful discussion seems a necesity preliminary to selectiv setlment, as conventions ar ever hurrid. Of this ther is need just now, becaus the Chicago Tribune, a frend while Hou. Jos. Medill livd, urges diversity in orthoepy as insuperabl.

In 3) $e^{i}$ and $\bar{o}^{u}$ ar the difthongs ment.
In America, o in a large clas of words of which not is type not ouly "aproaches" but coincides with a (iu art, artistic, ba$z^{\prime}(a r)$. Consider 'a' in the abstract, apart from quantity (any of the three elements of strength mentiond on p. 163), and free from atractiv influences (often misleading) of surrounding consonants in keywords. For the $a$-fiamily, their historical development is givn in the first paragraf of Wright's Dialect Dict. In the very first line he says: "Apart from the intluenco of neighboring sounds, the uzual development" is so and so. (Italics ar ours).

On the contrary, in considering 1), the mutual atraction of two adjacent sounds must be rememberd. Therin, in dy, d is atracted bak by $y$, and $y$ is drawn forward by d into the J-position (which lies close between d and y). Theu we hav $j=d J$. Sirilarly, ch =tr. Tho they ar compound in Orthoepy, yet it is wise to treat them as simpl in Orthografy,

## OTHER COUNSEL.

Mr Carnegie's 300 words shud be acompanid by a definit alfabet [to no what wordforms to work tuard]. Else, who wil adopt the 300 and feel sure to stay?

Uze c for ch, x for sh or zh , as Mr Wilcox suggests on p . 163. Alredy x is uzed so in Portuguese and Excelsioro. As to "Britons who cry no new letters," nur position is rather: As feeo us pusibl. Exampl, $\bar{o}$ is a compound, a difthong ( $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime}$ ). For it ou ansers admirably. Why then hav a new letter? At most, two or three new letters [differentials?] wil suffice. Hevn spare us the ugly forms a, $\theta, e, \omega, y$. For , the only one necesary, Mr Brown, of West Hartlepool, desigus a prettier form. Welliagton, Eng. Sidney E. Bond.
From Wellington, N. Z., Mr R. C. Harding rites (Jir. O. \& O., May) that $y$ is so excelent that it meets with almost universal acceptance. It is a comon sound in South-Sea tungs wherin it is often initial. Misionaries therin put old letters to new and strange uses (as $g$ for $y$, b for mb, c for $p$ ), and this produces confusion. He wud accept c for ch but for one weishty reasou, tradition.... old asociations cling.

## In New Speling.]

pROGRESSIV DEVELOPMENT.
Not enjoiment, and not soro,
lz aur destind end or we,
Bat tu act, dat ich tu-moro
Faind $\Lambda$ s fardar 才an tu-de.
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