



students of UNB to cooperate with them by keeping their cars off the major arteries and parking lots of the campus after hours during major storms.

hey

thing to say also. If you want a word in about residences, especially going co-ed and dining meal programs, send a letter to the Editor. Right now is the time to do it because the university has a committee investigating Residences. They'd be glad to read your comments, published in the Bruns or not.

us?

to advertise us as a bunch of students forming our social life in and blowing our money in the same places, and still complaining about having a hard time going to university because it's so expensive.

the 'Arms' wants to advertise in the Bruns, but these jerks don't finger us. The type of advertising they have is accepted for CHSR but to advertise as a student to the whole city is giving us a reputation that hundreds of UNB students don't have.

ones that find someplace to live. The "Arms" habit forming really students anyhow.

Mugwump

by **matthew penny**

Today is Friday and the first day that I have not been on the executive of CHSR in three years. So, consequently CHSR has a brand spanking new executive and I think that you all should allow them a couple of weeks before you get upset at what they are doing.

~~~~~

I went to visit Dr. Anderson, president of this place and found the meeting regarding courses and the violations of academic regulations most reassuring. The original communications between myself and the president were presented at the last board of Deans and they could not decide what course of action to take. I mean what can you do when the people who have been taken advantage of are so scared that they will not graduate that they will do nothing at all!!!

Dr. Anderson mentioned that in some ways some of the problems encountered will clear up by themselves. The problem with this regulation is that the faculty do not want their courses too stiffly structured, which I can go along with, and yet we, as students, do not want to see a case wherein a professor can suddenly decide in the middle of the year to assign a 3,000 word essay with a minimum of 10 books in the bibliography for two weeks from today. That is extremely unfair. I know the world is not a fair place but it would be nice to make it a little more fair. I for one would, or might, put up with a heavy course load if I knew about it in advance.

What will come of all this? Well, for now, to tell you the truth not very much. The Board of Deans is considering the matter and we may see results from there. [I hope] I suggested that the regulation should be re-evaluated and perhaps if it is still as useless as it seems it could be rewritten, thrown-out or maybe a whole new regulation would be the answer!!!

I must say thanks to Dr. Anderson who, different from some, had the decency to listen to an undergraduate who was upset with the runnings with the system.

Confidence is being maintained.

~~~~~

Some said that my writing on EPA were truly cynical last week. Well, this is just not true. I have nothing against that airline. In fact, I found it to be extremely well put together and an enjoyable way to act like a grasshopper and get somewhere at the same time.

There is nothing unfriendly about them either. For example, what other airline do you suppose would taxi out onto the runway, begin to take-off and suddenly stop, taxi back to the terminal and pick up a passenger who had missed the flight by a few seconds? I dare say that Air Canada would not do such a service to its customers. This is not a paid ad but next time I'm going E.P.A.; I mean why fly in a stiff airliner when you can ride the silver seagull???

~~~~~

How about my ego-tripping? Did anyone see the sixth page of Wednesday's Telegraph-Journal? If not, then you really missed something.

~~~~~

I have not said very much in the past few weeks regarding the closure of the library etc. Well, I think that it's about time that another bit was disclosed.

As you may well have noticed, the library is now open on Friday evenings until 11 p.m. That's nice. I use the library on the occasional Friday evening but I notice that few other people have chosen to do so. So, why not a slight change. I feel that a few more people would come out to the HIL on Saturday mornings more so than on Friday evenings. But by morning I don't mean the very early in the mornings.

After talking to a few of the staff of the library and peeking at the number counter records at the front desk I find that the library is really underused on the Friday evening shifts.

Why then don't we ask that the library hours be readjusted. Rather than waste money, who don't we close the library at a reasonable hour on Friday such as 6 p.m. and reopen it on Saturday mornings at say 9:30 to 10:00 ??? This would better accommodate those crawling out on Saturday in the a.m.

What do you say, oh administration????

~~~~~

This is the last Mugwump from room 222 of the SUB. I'm not really sure where the next few will come from so hold onto your hats!!!

I heard, via the grapevine, that on Tuesday a student on campus was jumped and given a beating by a gang of hooligans. I wonder if security was in the same place then as they were on Saturday last when some bright so and so, borrowed a ladder from the top of an NBTel truck and played with it by knocking down light globes and putting it through a plate glass window at the business office! That's money to the tune of several hundred dollars.

\*\*\*\*\*

We found our equipment, [whew] I would appreciate hearing from a few more people about the content of this column. Any suggestions, and of course all complaints are more than welcome.

Please do us a favour VOTE VOTE !!!  
Hi Carol, No cat jokes, I got scratched when he/she fell down the stairs.

# sound-off

## Another side of Bryant

To the Editor:

I am writing this letter in reference to the article printed in the Brunswickan on Anita Bryant. I don't believe what you have written in your article and would like to express my view to your reading audience.

First off, I would state that I believe homosexuals are human beings. We have always had them in society and always will. I don't understand these people, however, my understanding of them is that they are attracted to the same sex as I am to the opposite sex.

I believe they are a product of our society and that God did not create them homosexual. Society confuses them with this whole matter of sex.

My own personal belief is that I would not want my family to be in an environment with homosexuals. You see, you are not really fighting for the human rights of a person (homosexual) as they have existed in society for thousands of years and probably have been school teachers, lawyers, etc. and no one has known they were homosexual as they themselves knew it was against the teaching of the Church and was a state unacceptable by society, so they kept their homosexuality a secret.

You are LIBERAL you say. Well I must be CONSERVATIVE then if you want to put a label on it. You fight for the immoral degradation of our society where we all can get drunk and be gay. I suppose if we were all gay then within a generation we wouldn't have so many people starving in this world, and it may be one way to control the population explosion.

However, I don't believe that Mrs. Bryant is an egotistical, Bible-pounding, prejudiced oddball in our society. She is a concerned Christian viewing the stand that every concerned Christian should make.

Today we see homosexuals in newspapers, on radio and T.V. telling all the so-called 'straights' that they (the straights) are a little queer, and too hard on the gays. Yes, this very thing seems to be happening in all aspects of society today. Even criminals in prison are complaining because while they are doing time for killing police officers and wounding others that they (the criminals) should have better living conditions and equal pay etc.

People can't remember when they have had it so good. They have to try and push their biased opinions down someone else's throat, whether it is concerning

Mrs. Bryant or the homosexuals. Tell me, how did homosexuals get together hundreds of years ago? I realize back then it was against the law which it is not today -- thanks to the Prime Minister and his government of this country.

If the editor of the Brunswickan had taken time to check out his facts concerning Mrs. Bryant, he or she may have discovered that Mrs. Bryant was invited to Canada by the Church. If you are a LIBERAL thinker then why are you so critical of Mrs. Bryant? Does she not have the right to take her stand against homosexuals and publicly declare it? She is only doing what she believes is the right thing to do -- and one shouldn't knock a person for that. So, Mrs. Bryant has a right to go world wide with her fight against homosexuals if she so desires, and I personally do not believe you should drag her name through the mud as if it was something awful.

As two wrongs do not make a right, I would like to know what gives the Editor the authority to try and shove this biased article down the throats of all the students at the University of New Brunswick "that a LIBERAL society is the right one".

Sincerely,  
Allan D. Neill, C.E. 3

## Perverse mind in Bruns?

Dear Editor:

I must say I was shocked after reading your editorial on Anita Bryant (Jan. 27, '78).

Not only was the cartoon in poor taste but it, and the entire article, was the product of a perverse mind. It is obvious you have a total misunderstanding of Ms. Bryant's views on homosexuals. First of all, may I point out that she did not come to Canada to straighten out "our morals" or "rid us of our awful habits like our relative lack of prejudice against race and colour...". Instead she came to Canada on an invitation issued by a Toronto Church. Here she did not talk on homosexuality but rather on subjects such as child abuse and child pornography (which I'm sure you will agree needs to be eliminated from society).

In order to fully understand Anita Bryant's stand, one has to look back at the issue of the Dade County referendum. The people knew exactly what they were voting for. It was not a civil right issue, but instead it was a moral one. Realizing this, the people of Dade County voted accordingly. Ms. Bryant has a policy of live-and-let-live and tolerates the existence of homosexuals, but when Dade County became an issue, she chose to publicly fight against the adoption of the ordinance. If it had been adopted, homosexuals would have been allowed to become role models in private and religious schools. As a mother, she has the right to protect the environment of her children. Her actions opened up many people's eyes, giving her the added support needed to defeat the pro-gay element.

Anita Bryant, contrary to your assumptions, does think of

homosexuals as human beings and also believes in civil rights. But she doesn't believe in special privileges for a radical group that would go against the constitutional rights of the majority. Obviously, if the homosexual lifestyle is accepted as a respectable alternate lifestyle then what is there from preventing prostitutes and drunkards from doing the same thing? The time has come for society to pull their heads out of the sand and say "enough is enough!".

Anita Bryant has been subjected

to many forms of bigotry and "sick" responses from the pro-gay community including hate mail, violent demonstrations and even boom threats on the lives of her children. Your article was just another example of this ignorant narrowmindedness. No, I wouldn't say there is "something queer with Anita" but rather there is "something queer" with your reasoning! Next time, print the facts!

Sincerely,  
Bruce Lepard

## Story lowers credibility

Dear Editor:

I have been reading the Bruns for the six years that I have been at UNB, and sympathize with the perennial problems of trying to squeeze out a paper every week with only a small staff of fulltime students. I also forgive the paper's complement of typographical errors each week, as I know that many people simply can't be bothered with correct spelling; 'play it by ear' can be said to be a capsule commentary on the current status of English grammar. As a matter of fact, there must be people who look forward each week to getting a rise out of the Bruns' misfortune regarding spelling. HOWEVER, I say HOWEVER, you still have a responsibility as a newspaper, of informing students of current events and affairs in the big world.

In last week's cover story on the Hughes report, you several times referred to Hughes as the Chief Justice Minister, leaving the impression that the province's

justice ministers had spent the last few months investigating themselves. Admittedly you got it right at least once, but overall, it would be extremely easy for the average poorly-informed student to get the idea that all parties involved as principals in this investigation were elected representatives, i.e. typical enterprising New Brunswick politicians. Although the report should still be taken with a grain of salt, your story further lowered its credibility by this implication.

Print this or don't print it, I'll be satisfied if having read it, you in future take a little more care, at least with the front-page stories. If you do print it, no --- typo's, all right?!

Henrik Kreiberg  
Grad. Student Biology

P.S. I really liked the one on page 18 about the Black resurrection; how will the Klan ever live this down?