



**THE BATTLE WEARS ON**—this time in David Leadbeater's office. The irate students involved in the discussion are Dennis Crowe, Frank MacInnis and an unidentified law student.

## Students want their year book but many can't explain why

By BETH NILSEN

Last February, a referendum was held to establish whether or not pictures would go in this year's year book. The vote was 2,968 in favor. There was also a questionnaire in the year book itself, asking if students still wanted year books. The vote was 92 per cent for, seven per cent against.

Yet, when over 50 students were questioned Tuesday, less than half of them could say why they wanted it. Most of them were more worried about their money than the fate of the Evergreen and Gold.

Such comments as "I could use the money," and "I don't care about the year book, just give back my money" were indicative of the general attitude of the students.

There were others who definitely want a year book.

"It is something to help remember your university life with," said Claus Brauer, eng 1. "I think that we should have a general meeting to decide this issue."

Bruce Gauld, arts 3, said that he would rather see the whole students' union scrapped than the year book. "The students pay their fees under the assumption that they will get a year book out of it at the end of the year."

President David Leadbeater's

office was swamped Tuesday with students, most of them from the faculty of law, demanding that he explain council's stand on the issue.

"If students' council is using this as a shock tactic to jolt people into action, they've got the wrong issue," said Frank MacInnis, law rep. "It shows a callous disregard for what David Leadbeater has said are the views of the students on the issue." Mr. MacInnis also said that students' council has been substituting its own political philosophies for those of the students.

Another member of council who appeared in David's office was Valerie Keates, science rep. Miss Keates, who abstained on the motion to return money to the students, said "I think the money should be returned to the students, but it was brought up that some \$54,000 would be given back to the students and this is some \$10,000 more than the cost to publish. Where the other \$10,000 goes I can't say."

Dennis Fitzgerald answered her statement by stating that there is no exact amount paid by the students for their books. "The students' council allocates a certain amount each year for the publishing of the year book.

"They have the right to delete the bylaw if they wish not to print the year book. Because of contract, this is definitely not the time to do it. Under Alberta statutes, this could mean the students' union has committed a breach of contract."

Mr. Fitzgerald suggested that the best method of dealing with the E & G matter, would have been to just cut down the number of copies. "At this time, it is the only reasonable alternative I could see."

Miss Keates also said that the agenda misrepresented the issue because it was placed under SCPB 69-113, a Personnel Board document dealing with involvement in students' union activities. This is a three and one-half page document, one paragraph of which dealt with the year book.

The Disciplinary Interpretation and Enforcement Board has been approached to review the motion made by council. "We will sit and consider the validity of the motion under the constitution and the by-laws. The decision will be binding to the students' council according to bylaw 15-6. The motion could be declared invalid and the year book would be re-instated to its former position," said a spokesman for the DIE Board.

Several petitions have started circulating in support of the year book. David Leadbeater said that if these petitions acquired more than 200 signatures, there would be a general meeting called at which the student body could vote on the issue. A quorum of 1,500 students would be needed.

The general consensus of all the student comments on the issue can be summed up in one statement from a residence student, "Either they give me my goddamn year book or my money."

But, in the Aggies' lounge, a notice asking what is to be done about the scrapping of the year book was answered in this manner, "Find a suitable means of converting it into fertilizer!"

## Reasons behind the E & G cut Lie in council's shift toward educative functions

By ELLEN NYGAARD

Students on this campus are seeing a change in the emphasis and direction of the students' union.

A shift in budget priorities to emphasize education rather than services launches this change so much in evidence at students' council Monday night.

The proposed adjustments to the budget imply cuts in budget allocation to service organizations in favor of educative activities and their administration.

The Evergreen and Gold, says secretary Wendy Brown, is only one of the many organizations and services to be affected.

"Speaking from the point of view of the Personnel Board" said Miss Brown, "the prime problem this year is that the students are just not interested. When you con-

sider that there are somewhere around 12 or 15 people putting out a year book for 18,000.

"It is unfortunate that students may react this way to the Evergreen and Gold issue and ignore other things that the council must do" she said, commenting on campus reaction to the deletion of the year book.

Essentially, the problem the council and the campus face is a re-evaluation of the relevance and effectiveness of certain students' union institutions.

Decisions arising from re-evaluation will necessarily take into account (1) the number of students actually benefiting and (2) the number of students participating in making these services available.

Students' council Monday night passed a motion introduced by

president David Leadbeater proposing that the order of priorities in the budget be: (1) education; (2) those administrative matters pertaining to the implementation of (1); (3) services.

The motion included the provision that a general understanding of the definitions of the above be under the terms of a document compiled by Mr. Leadbeater discussing students' union priorities and recommendations.

The president also introduced a second successful motion based on the contents of his brief calling for the hiring of three full-time workers for the students' union.

These people would be hired on the same basis as the president: an educating worker, a full-time research and development worker, and a women's worker.

The functions of the educating worker would include "organizing students on the grass-roots level," planning forums, panels and debates, administering public relations pertaining to the above, and possibly acting as a field worker helping individuals and groups with such matters.

The research worker would be responsible for compiling information on matters of importance to the student body, presenting this information in the form of reports, newsletters, press releases to on- and off-campus media, and organizing research for individuals or groups. Involved would be establishment of a considerable background of information, all available to the student body in general.

Anticipating a great need for dealing with the specific problems

of women on this campus and in society in general, the third worker would be a women's worker.

Probably held by a woman, this position would entail organizing and educating women as to their own problems, making available to them information on matters such as birth control and abortion, and handling public relations matters.

Commenting on the proposed hirings, treasurer Dennis Fitzgerald said that it would have been impossible to hire anyone else unless the Evergreen and Gold or other cuts had been made, given the tightness of the upcoming budget.

According to David Leadbeater the hiring of these three workers had "very little" to do with rejecting the Evergreen and Gold. The year book issue took place long before the workers issue was brought before council.

The above enumeration of the duties of the three workers indicates a significant change in the direction of the union.

Among the educative programs which would be undertaken pending such budget changes would be that relating to the report of the tenure committee. Council passed a motion Monday urging the abolishment of the tenure system and the implementation of new means of evaluating teaching ability.

Mr. Leadbeater stressed that intelligent reaction from the student body on this matter would necessitate a thorough educative program on the principles and practices of tenure.

Financing such a program would be included in the union's educative priorities.

## CUSsed again at Carleton

OTTAWA (CUP)—The Canadian Union of Students had been defeated in what was billed as the first of two crucial referendums in deciding the future of the national union.

In two days of balloting, students at Ottawa's Carleton University voted almost two to one to keep their student association out of CUS.

Final results tallied Tuesday night, were 1,656 no, 881 yes, on the question of CUS membership for the 6,000 student campus. There were 116 spoiled ballots.

Student President Lorenz Schmidt said Tuesday night the results at

Carleton "would be an indicator—nothing more" of results at the University of Toronto where a CUS referendum is slated for this Thursday.

The vote at the 21,000-student U of T campus will be an important factor whether CUS continues or goes 'belly-up' at Christmas.

CUS has been financially crippled by withdrawals of several major campuses over the last year.

Carleton voted to withdraw last year by a vote of 1,298-1,043, but a change to a pro-CUS student council meant the campus remained in CUS until the annual congress this summer.