

Leader of the Opposition (Hon. Mr. Brooks) to make his point I cannot, under the rules, allow a full discussion of the question of the flag.

Some Hon. Senators: Question.

Hon. Jean-François Pouliot: Honourable senators, in all deference to the ruling of His Honour the Speaker, which I accept, I think that the best way to show the olive branch is to sponsor in this house in due course a motion concerning the flag, and I am ready to do it. I have already spoken about the flag in this house, and I am ready to put such a motion. However, I will do it upon one condition, and it is that my honourable friend, Senator O'Leary (Carleton), tells us what he means by a national, distinctive flag. When he tells us that I will move the motion.

Hon. Mr. Connolly (Ottawa West): Question.

The Hon. the Speaker: Honourable senators, with leave of the Senate, it is moved by honourable Senator Connolly, seconded by honourable Senator Hugessen, that when the Senate adjourns today it do stand adjourned until Tuesday, October 13, 1964, at 8 o'clock in the evening.

Is it your pleasure, honourable senators, to adopt the motion?

Hon. Senators: Agreed.

Motion agreed to.

MONUMENTS ON PARLIAMENT HILL

SUGGESTED UNVEILING

On the Orders of the Day:

Hon. Jean-François Pouliot: Honourable senators, this is not a question, but a suggestion I have to make to the Government with regard to something that will surprise you all.

There are on this hill several monuments, and four of them have not yet been unveiled. Although they have been exposed to the four winds for decades, and have resisted snow, wind, hail and all the other elements, they have not been unveiled. Among them is one which was ordered from the sculptor, Walter S. Allward, in 1908 and completed in May, 1914. It has never been unveiled. I am referring, of course, to the monument to Lafontaine and Baldwin. It is here. It is a beautiful monument, but it has never been unveiled.

My suggestion is that the Government should take advantage of the visit of Her Majesty to Ottawa on Monday, October 12, and ask her to graciously unveil the monument to the two fathers of responsible government in this country. If there had been no Lafontaine and no Baldwin there would

have been no Macdonald, no Laurier, no Cartier and no McGee.

This is a matter of great importance, and it is feasible because it was the father of the present Queen who unveiled the Cenotaph on Confederation Square, and it was the then Prince of Wales who unveiled the statue of Sir Wilfrid Laurier in front of the East Block.

Cartier's monument was unveiled in 1884 and that of Sir John Macdonald in 1895. There are other monuments which have not been unveiled. I wonder if you would like to know which they are.

There is the monument to Alexander Mackenzie; there is the monument to George Brown; and there is also the monument to Thomas D'Arcy McGee—these have never been unveiled. We should not ask the Queen to go all around the building to unveil all these monuments. If she unveils the monument to Lafontaine and Baldwin, it will be enough. I am sure she would graciously accept. With regard to the other monuments, may I suggest that in due course the monument to the memory of Alexander Mackenzie should be unveiled by his biographer, Professor Dale Thomson of Montreal, a professor at the University of Montreal. I suggest also that the monument to George Brown should be unveiled by that well-known journalist and member of Parliament for York-Humber, Mr. Ralph Cowan. Then I have Thomas D'Arcy McGee. Who could unveil it better than our friend Senator Grat-tan O'Leary?

I make those suggestions in the friendliest manner and I hope they will be considered in due course.

DISSOLUTION AND ANNULMENT OF MARRIAGES ACT

BILL TO REPEAL—WITHDRAWN

On the Order:

Second reading of Bill S-29, intituled: "An Act to repeal the Dissolution and Annulment of Marriages Act".—(Honourable Senator Pouliot).

Hon. Jean-François Pouliot: Honourable senators, I am in the valley of humiliation. I have to ask your leave to withdraw this bill. It is not because I do not believe in it. I believe that a time will come sooner or later when we will have real judges of the Exchequer Court to deal with divorces. That time will come eventually. When, I do not know. In order to have this done, the bill should be amended. Not only that, but chapter 98 of the Revised Statutes of Canada 1952, sections 17 and 18, concerning the jurisdiction of the Exchequer Court, should be also amended in order to give full force to the suggestion which is made in this bill.