

*Motions for Papers*

## 1968-69 STUDY OF SOCIAL AND MEDICAL FACTORS OF WOMEN ATTENDING FAMILY PLANNING CLINIC

Motion No. 510—**Mrs. MacInnis:**

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the study undertaken by the University of British Columbia in the fiscal year 1968-69 funded by the Department of National Health and Welfare on "Social and Medical Factors of Women Attending Vancouver Family Planning Clinic and a Group of Women not Attending a Family Planning Clinic in two areas of the City of Vancouver".

Motion stands.

## STUDY OF EFFECT OF ORAL CONTRACEPTIVES ON FOLATE METABOLISM

Motion No. 511—**Mrs. MacInnis:**

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the study undertaken by St. Boniface General Hospital in the fiscal year 1969-70 funded by the Department of National Health and Welfare on "The Effect of Oral Contraceptives on Folate Metabolism as Related to the Outcome of Subsequent Pregnancies".

Motion stands.

## STUDIES UNDER HEALTH GRANT PROJECT 605-7-510

Motion No. 512—**Mr. Harding:**

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of all studies prepared under National Health Grant Project 605-7-510 of 1969.

**Mr. Stanley Haidasz (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Health and Welfare):** Mr. Speaker, in view of the circumstances involving this motion and since the hon. member was communicated with by letter from the minister, I would ask him to withdraw his motion.

**Mr. Harding:** Mr. Speaker, I understand that the research has not been completed on this matter, and I would ask that the motion stand until the fall. I hope that the research will then be completed and that a report will be available.

**Mr. Speaker:** Stand.

## SPEECH BY DIRECTOR OF RCMP SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE BRANCH

Motion No. 515—**Mr. Orlikow:**

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the speech delivered to the Canadian Society for Industrial Security, in Toronto, by John K. Starnes, Director of the RCMP's Security and Intelligence Branch.

**Hon. Donald S. Macdonald (President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the

22478—31½

Solicitor General I am advised that there is no text of the remarks and that the remarks in fact were made in private and were given from notes. On that basis I wonder if the hon. member would agree to withdraw his motion?

**Mr. Orlikow:** I would if the notes could be provided to me, Mr. Speaker; otherwise I would not agree.

**Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale):** Transfer for debate.

**Mr. Speaker:** Transferred for debate.

## GOVERNMENT SUBMISSIONS TO ORGANIZATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Motion No. 516—**Mr. Saltzman:**

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the submission by the Canadian Government to the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development recently regarding Canada's future growth projections.

**Hon. Herb Gray (Minister without Portfolio):** Mr. Speaker, the submission to the OECD regarding Canada's future growth projections was a confidential working document used in the preparation of the Report of the OECD on Growth Prospects for the 1970's, which will shortly be published in full by the organization. It has not been the practice of member countries to publish their individual submissions. In view of these circumstances, I ask the hon. member to withdraw his motion.

**Mr. Saltzman:** Agreed, Mr. Speaker.

**Mr. Speaker:** The motion is dropped.

Order discharged and motion withdrawn.

**Mr. Speaker:** Perhaps the Chair should add a comment to the point of order raised by the hon. member for Peace River, which was of a different nature. Over the years the practice has developed under which a brief statement is made by the Parliamentary Secretary responding in the name of the minister or by the minister himself in explaining why a motion is accepted, refused, or to be transferred for debate. It has been understood from time to time by hon. members following this practice that it was actually saving time, because objections were taken by hon. members asking the questions or giving notice on the notice paper to the effect that no reason is given for the actions taken by the government.

I agree with the hon. member for Peace River that these explanations sometimes have