SUPPLY—RICHIBUCTO WHARF—*Con.*

Borden, R. L. (Halifax)—7630.

Can he not argue that the evidence is supported, 7630. Rule would not apply to a case where the evidence has been taken, 7631. The amount involved is not a large one, but the question at issue is not without importance, 7741-2. There had been some negotiations between Murray the purchaser and O'Leary the owner of this property, 7743. It is established that Mr. Murray went to Ottawa afterwards, 7743. This purchase had taken place barely one month before he reported that $5,000 would be a fair price, 7745. Every credit is due to Crocket for exposing this transaction, 7746.

Carvell, F. B. (Carleton, N.B.)—7650.

Crocket's record of last year made in an attempt to besmirch the Minister of Public Works, 7650. Know of nothing the hon. member has looked after during the past twelve months than this sawdust proposition, 7651. Delay in bringing the matter up and spirit in which it has been approached, 7652. Mr. Murray doing exactly what hundreds of other people all over the country are doing, 7653. The matter such a laughing stock that one witness referred to it as the Richibucto novel, 7654. Mr. James gave evidence but was never asked a question as to value, 7655. Quotes Mr. Carter's evidence, 7656-7. If the government had gone before Mr. Murray they might have bought it cheaper than they dit, 7658, Mr. O'Leary when he sold that wharf, knowing that it would go to Public Works and that railway tracks would be put on it, 7659. A letter of Mr. O'Leary, 7660. Mr. O'Leary's letter means that he wanted at the rate of $20,000 for his wharf, 7661. Last year Mr. Irving refused to let the government have these scows, 7662. Fifteen years ago Mr. Irving bought one and one only of these wharfs for $200, 7663. In the face of such evidence Crocket wants the House to believe there was a corrupt transaction, 7664. Crocket believes that there is nothing in the Liberal party but vice, 7665. Mr. O'Leary and the value of his wharf. Was authorized to pay $2,000 for it, 7666. O'Leary pledged his oath he would sell for $2,000, refused to take $2,500, he was bluffing, 7667. The wharf will cost the government only $1,500. Nothing correct in Crocket's statements, 7668. He has made a more vicious statement than I attributed to him, 7669. Mr. Murray and Mr. Loggie. They cannot extract much comfort, 7670. Mr. Loggie's evidence, 7671. Loggie is satisfied that he did not receive an offer from O'Leary to buy this property, 7672. Reads a despatch from the St. John 'Telegram,' 7673. This wharf will not only be a benefit but an actual necessity, 7674.

Crocket, O. S. (York, N.B.)—7592.

Refers to the purchase of the property in Richibucto, known as the sawdust wharf;

SUPPLY—RICHIBUCTO WHARF—*Con.*

Crocket, O. S.—Con.

paid seven prices, 7592. There is evidence which indicates that the Minister of Public Works was the originator of the matter, 7593. In 1908, the Public Works made a blanket contract with Mr. Murray to perform all sorts of work, 7594. Quotes evidence of Mr. Carter and Mr. Irving, 7595. Quotes Mr. Loggie, 7596. William O'Leary sold the property for $400. Quotes O'Leary's evidence, 7597, Andrew Loggie, and Frank Ingersoll, 7598. Andrew Loggie was brought here at the instance of the Minister of Public Works, 7599. Richibucto's decline; in those days the government owned no wharf there, 7600. Quotes report of Mr. Geoffrey Stead, 7601. These facts establish that there was no need of the purchase, 7602. O'Leary's evidence. 7603-4. O'Leary's statement was contradicted by Murray; More of O'Leary's evidence, 7605-6. Says that Thos. O. Murray committed a criminal offence against the Public Works, 7607. The evidence of Mr. Murray himself, 7608-9. Quotes Geoffrey Stead, 7610. A letter from the then Northern Railway, 7611. More correspondence, 7612. Testimony of Mr. Stead, 7613. Letter from Mr. Murray and his evidence, 7614-5. Letter of Geoffrey Stead, 7616. This evidence casts the gravest suspicion on the resident engineer, 7617. May have been some suggestion from Mr. Stead that the description was not right, 7618. The way in which Murray and Robertson raised the money, 7619. Mr. Murray's evidence, 7620-1-2. Mr. Pugsley's evidence. 7623. The author of the telegram to Leblanc says he does not know what he meant, 7624. Letter to Mr. Carter and evidence, 7625. Mr. Murray as to the disposition of the money, 7626-7. The money was for corrupt purposes in that election,7628. Puts in the letter; the insincerity of the letter is evidence upon its face, 7629. The issue in this case is, was this a bona fide transaction, 7630. Is not making a charge, is considering the matter, 7631. Proposes to move an amendment, 7632. The evidence proves beyond a doubt that the transaction was corrupt and fraudulent, 7633. Mr. Stead denied at first what he was compelled to admit, quotes evidence, 7634-5-6-7-8. There is the evidence, because of the interview at St. John, he applied to the Loggies, 7639. The statement of the Minister of Public Works of December 16, 7640. Continues Mr. Stead's evidence, 7641. The minister was glad to drop it without seeking Mr. Loggie after what had developed, 7642. Had not the slightest conception of what it would cost to put the wharf in conditon, 7643. Testimonial to Mr. Richard O'Leary; Mr. O'Leary would have sold his own private wharf for $2,000, 7644. It was known of course that he would not sell his wharf unless he sold out his business, 7645. Telegram from A. & R. Loggie, 7646. Quotes the admission of Mr. Loggie himself, 7647. Mr. Irving on the valuation,