one source of antidumping complaints and appears to have reasonable political and economic justifications. However, because this modification would require a special amendment to the antidumping law, strong opposition is likely and the costs of seeking the change probably would outweigh the benefits.

- 83 -

## 2. Proposals Relating to Injury Determinations

The same injury standard is applied in antidumping cases as in countervailing duty cases: there must be "a reasonable indication that . . an industry in the United States . . . i. materially injured, or . . . is threatened with material injury . . . by reason of imports of that merchandise . . . . "<sup>49</sup> Also as in countervailing duty cases, injury determinations are made by the independent ITC.

## a. <u>Alterations in Injury Standard</u>

The proposals relating to modification of the injury standard in countervailing duty cases are also applicable in the antidumping context:

 The FTA could raise the level of injury needed for imposition of antidumping duties by requiring "serious injury" rather than "material injury" to the U.S. industry;

<sup>°</sup> 19 U.S.C. § 1673(2).