Chapter Four

Evaluation Issues and Options

_		•	-	
Pro	ogram Rationale			
<u>A</u> -	- General Issues Question	Suggested Approach	Hanfiel	Affected by
		мрргоасп	Usefulness	Reorganization
1.	Should the existing mandate be formalized and strengthened.	- Detailed analysis of Deptl. functions - research review - catalogue of functions	- limited - previous attempts unsuccessful	- profoundly (New Legislation)
		 consensus of high- level discussion group (Delphi group) 	- very limited - too large group needed	 profoundly (New Legislation)
2.	Do activities and outputs match:	 too vague; no approach suggested 	•••••	•••••
	- objectives?			
	<pre>- national foreign policy?</pre>			
	- foreign ministry concept?			
3.	Do objectives match mandate?	- ditto	•••••	•••••
4.	Do activities:			
(a)	match govt. priorities?	- ditto	•••••	*****
(b)	result in informed decision-makers?	- case studies - peer review - "what if" scenarios	- very limited - reduces to second guessing	- no
(c)	help strengthen structures in the international arena?	 case studies of ongoing multilateral issues historical review of Canadian contribution to a selected innatl organization interview heads of selected innatl. orgs. interview special interest groups 	- limited, generalization difficult - interviewee biaises introduced	- no
5.	Is a long-term, or a short term view the best for judging effectiveness?	 academic, philosophical questions, contract-out to academic community minister has commented (long term view - recent address) 	limited (managerially)high (theoretically)	- no
<u>B</u> -	Specific Issues			
	Is the mandate clear in the light of summit meetings?	- case studies and interviews	 high should result in guidelines re. roles and responsibilities 	- significantly (New Legislation)
	Is it possible, and desirable for EA to articulate objectives and assign them priorities?	- Direct management issue - not an evaluation issue per se	 high (theoretically), and practically to the extent an answer is found 	- no