## Debate on Mr. Crawford's Motion REGARDING REMEDIAL LEGISLATION.

On Wednesday afternoon a large number of citizens interested in the question of Remedial Legislation for Manitoba thronged the galleries of the chamber in the Local House. It was known that Mr. Crawford would introduce his resolution in tayour of an expression of disaproval from the Urfario Legislature with respect to the action of the Citawa Government in On Wednesday afternoon Ortario Legislature with respect to the action of the Ottawa Government in directing seemingly coercive measures against the Province of Manitoba re-Barding the ro-establishment of Separate schools; and it was also known, or at least surmised, that Mr. O. A. Howland would speak in lavour of an amendment to remove the ques-tion from the sphere of political juris-diction, and establish it as a judicial problem to be solved in the less heat-ed atmosphere of non-partizan investi-

of education in lieu thereof, in the Province of Manitoba. That we re-gard the legislation now being con-templated by the Dominion Govern-ment, known as the Remedial bill, the object of which is to abolisn the national system of Manitoba and re-store the old qual system in its place, unfavourably. That this Leg-islature cannot but look upon the legislation which is being promoted at Ottawa as an attack upon the legislative rights of a sister province, and as a measure, fraught with grave danger to the peace and prosperity of Confederation, and consequently, we hope, even yet, that the Dominion Government will decide to abandon the course it is at present following, and to leave Manitoba to manage her own educational affairs in the way that seems best to the people of that province. ME OKAWEUED (Toronto W.), Fe-

way that seems best to the people of that province. MR. CRAWFORD, (Toronto w.), re-marked that having due regard to the fact that the substance of his motion was in itself a departure from the fact that the substance of his motion was in itself a departure from the ordinary business of the House, and, further, that he recognized his own position as an assumption of re-sporsibility in introducting the mo-tion, he should make his observa-tions to the point. He was not in this matter representing one sect or one party, or even one of the four parties occupying seats on both sides of the House, but he believed that he was voicing the views of the peo-ple of the Frovince of Ontario, and of their representatives in Parliament before him. He desired neither to make political capital out of the fact that he had introduced the motion, ner, on the other hand, to give of-ience by his attitude on the question, but he was possessed of an honest wish to obtain from the House a free and frank expression of its disapproval of the Remediat Bill now heing pressed ience by his attitude on the question, but he was possessed of an honest wish to obtain from the House a free and frank expression of its disapproval of the Hemedial Bill now being pressed to its conclusion by the Parliament of Canada. Such action, in his opin-ion, was not wise. It was fraught would be detrimental to the best in-terests, both of the Dominion and the Province of Manitoba. It was not necessary for him to say that the subject had been so thoroughly threshed out already in the press that even school children were aware of the significance of the words " Reme-dial Legislation." It had been said that were so, he would await an exposition of its legal side from some hon, gentleman versed in the law, and would content himself with a reference to a few of its salient fea-tures, without going into the question in its entirety. It was sufficient to say that in the year 1890, the Mani-toba Legislature enacted a law, the effect of which was to abolish the dual system of schools which had previously existed in that province for four years, and that a single na-ticnal system of education was there-by substituted. Objection was there-by substituted. Objection was there-by substituted. Objection was call-ed upon to decide for or against its legality. The just decision of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Coun-cil was to the effect that the law was constitutional. The second decision of this august body was that the law in question did affect the privileges

of the minority in such a way as to justify an appeal on the part of the latter. That appeal was therefore made to the Governor-General in council, and a message was sent to Manitopa from the Dominion Govern-ment commanding the restoration to ment commanding the restoration to the minority of the rights of which they had been stripped. The reply of Manitoba to this command was a cistinct refusal to restore Separate Schools, and the Remedial Order which was at that moment under discus-sion in the House of Commons at Ottawa, was thereupon issued. It was because he trusted that that bill would be rejected that he had intro-duced his motion.

auced his motion. "I take the ground," continued the member for Toronto west, "that this but was born in haste; and secondly that it is in its text and purpose an intringement upon Provincial Rights. I will not discuss the question of exist in this case. I say, merely, that before such a bill should become law, the most exhaustive report, and the fullest investigation of the facts of the authorities. It is not the hands of the authorities of the people of Manitoba, and will seriously affect the growth and prosperity of that in such an event the minority must surely suffer since reaction is the natural result of a coercive measure. Furthermore, it will be evident that they also are possessed of certain special rights and privileges in the upshot of this bill may be that Manitoba may be saddled with a larken number of sectarian schools-a most inventor of the disturbance in other forvinces, among the people of Canada, that the great questions of the day will be lost sight of, and the type of the manitoba Government refuse to put the necessary machinery in forder which we will suppose for a moment has become law, what a deplorable state of things will ensue! Even the foundations of Confederation may be that such redress is necessary that if there is a grievance to be remedied, and if redress is necessary that if there is a grievance to be remedied, and if redress is necessary their rights prove abortive, then I would be the first to admit the would be the first to admit the would be the first to admit the such referses should come through Manitoba referses is necessary their rights prove abortive, then I would be the first to admit the such referses is necessary their rights prove abortive, then I would be the first to admit the subout this