

ter, who should profit by it. The operation would be very simple; at the place of entry, the receiver of the goods, goes to the officer, reports his articles, and when the officer has ascertained their existence and quality, by such checks as are familiar to all custom-houses, he forwards the report to the receiver-general at York, and gives a counter certificate to the importer, entitling him to receive the ten per cent at York; (which certificate, by the bye, might likewise become a kind of current government paper, that would come in aid of the circulating medium.) These reports again, transmitted to the receiver general at Quebec, would be the vouchers upon which the treasury-warrants would be granted, for the quarterly payment of the Upper Canada quota, which would thus be ascertained, collected, and paid, not by equivalent or approximation, but in reality, and in true justice, down to a very fraction, and without the expense, the delay, and the encumbrance, of boards of commissioners, and the disputes, differences, and ill blood, that has hitherto accompanied the old and inefficient system. Many minor particulars of arrangement occur to me, but I refrain, meaning these remarks more as hints, than as proposals.

Yours, &c.

CARDO.

The following is an additional and satisfactory proof that sound *English* principles and feelings are gaining ground in Upper-Canada on the subject of the proposed Union.

PRESENTMENT made by the Grand Jury of the Court of General Quarter Sessions for the District of NIAGARA, on the 9th Oct. 1822.

DISTRICT OF }
 NIAGARA, } *Upper-Canada.*

We the Grand Jurors for our Lord the King having been requested by the honorable the Chairman, to express our sentiments upon the Union of the two Provinces, feel it our duty to say that, in our opinion, such a Union would be very detrimental to the prosperity of our country, and would much contract the liberty of its subjects.

Grand Jury Room, Niagara. Upper-Canada, 9th Oct. 1822.
 Signed by MOSES BRADY, Foreman, and twenty-one other jurors.

As the editor of the Spectator seems to have some doubts as to the meaning of the word "clinch" made use of by the Courant. I beg to offer my conjectures on the subject, & if they throw any light upon the diction of the editor of the last mentioned paper, which is sometimes rather obscure to *English* men; who