## Yet is He near us, to survey

These bright and order'd piles,
Like spring-flowers in their best array, All silence and all smiles.
Save that each little voice in turn Some glorious truth proclaims, -
What sages would have died to learn,
Naw taught by cottage dames.
And if some tones be false or low, What are all prayers beneath
But cries of babes, that cannot know Half the deep thought they breathe?
In his own words we Christ adore, But angels, as we speak,
Higher abova our meaning soar
Than we o'er children weak:
And yet His words mean more than they, teis yet He whe their praise :
Why should we think He torns away Frona infanta' aimple laye?

For the Colonial Churchman.

## Messrs. Editors,

I have lately heard some of our clergy complainfing of the want of original matter in your columns, and $I$ now beg leare to give you a few lines of my own, poor as they will be.
I would in the first place, express my astonishment at any complaints being made, when they who make them are first to be blamed. For my part, I must confess, nothing but the fear of my inatility to offer any thing worth printing, has kept me from complying with your request to all the clergy, to becoune contributors to your paper. But what then do our brethren wish? They surely ought to know that it is impossible for one editor, with all his parochial duties, to devote as much time to his editorial department as it could be wished, and therefore why do they not help him? Why do not all the clergy devote a few hours every week in preparing a short essay, which would certainly greatly enrich your paper, and increase its usefulness?
It is also observed by some, that it contains but extracts, or little else. But, admitting this to be the case, I would ask, are those extracts of less value than original matter? We are so fond of novelty, that we are always craving for something new, were it ever so miserable. 1, however, think very differently concerning the extracts in the Colonial Churchman : and you may be sure, Messrs. Editors, that as long as you will be able to supply your readers with the same kind of spiritual food, neither youns, nor their time or money will be lost. What matter whether what you give us is new or old, original or extracted, while it is good.
There are also some people who do not like religious newspapers, because they think it likely that such publications will become controversial, and thus produce feelings of bitteruess betwixt different denominations. I also think such may be the case, but is it because a good thing is somatimes abused, that it must be laid by. I think, Messre. Editors, that you have no canse for discouragement since the $\mathbf{C o}$ lonial Churchman bas been in the hands of the pub-
lic. If you could see with what eagerness and plealic. If you could see with what eagerness and plea-l loag leefore I was able to read dhe ward of God, to ' love
my neighbour as ayself;' and deeply wookd I regret to bo
sure It is read by very many, both in our towns
mit sure It is read by very many, both in our towns, my meighbour as myself;' and deeply woohd I regret to to
and all overife country, how it has already strength- found infringing hat fundamental rule of our holy religion, ened the attachment of hot a few to our chirch; how even should any love not be reciprocated. I say would it
it has increased the zeal of all those that read it, by giving instruction and intelligence on many subjects which it is not always easy to convey from the pul-pit,-you would, I am sure, think yourselves amply rewarded for all your labours. There is yet another objection to religious newspapers on the minds of some very good men. They think that it is making the word of God too common; that such papers are apt to be torn to pieces and destroyed. But here again is another abuse. It is not surely intended that a religious paper should be disrespectfully destroyed afler it is read. If careless readers do so, they might do the same with any other good book. However, what is especially intended by such a work, is to convey instruetion to all classes of society, in all places and at all times, and in such a manner as it is most probable they will be lead to receive it, and to be benefited by it. If we were to give the word of God to those alone who will respect and receive it, very few indeed would be found of that number. I fear there is as much irreverence paid by the multitude to the preaching of God's ministers as to the printed word on newspapers.
S.

## For the Colonial Churchman.

## Messrs. Editors,

1 have for some time anxiously perused your sereral numbers as they made their appearance, fur some record of the doinge of the Diocesan Church Soeiety established at Halifax, during the second visitation of the Clergy, held in May last, and which was truly an interesting one. But alas! as yet my eyes have lighted upon nothing (but your own querulous remarks) that leads me to anticipate a speedy fulfilinent of the hope at that time indulged in by ine and very many others, that a new sera was about to dawn upon the Colonlal Church, -that the barrier was about to be remored which had so loug kept s sunder the clergy and the laity; and which, by not permitting the tatter to participate in the management of ecclesiastical inatters at all, kegt then as ignorant of them as if they were not in reality a part of the church. In short, that a bond of union was about being established which would be prodactive of the happiest results, and that at length the lay inembers of our respective congregations would hare an opportunity afforded them of taking an interest in the concerns of the churct, of devising means for its advancement, and of bestowing somewhat of the silver and the gold lent to them by the Lord, upon objects and institutions which have a paramount claim upon every christian, atrictly in accordance with the views of churchnien, uppoe such subjects - Yea, and that (though it be at the eloventh hour) iu these respects we would at length be on a par with our brethren of other denominations, who at the present time, are oertainly far before us, and who, I doubt not, have received mrany contributions which would otherwise bave been appropriated amoag oursel vea.
Now, Mesurs.Editors, would it not be well for ub, at it is consisteut with the constitution of our church, to take a fesson from our "left handed brethpen," as I have hearit chan called - "fas est et ab hoste doceti." Though lana frar from intimating that our dissentiag brethrea stand in that relationship to us; no, $I$ was early taught in that excellant suminary of doctrine and duty, the Church Catechiem, 1

