

not question
the genuine
e," says that
L, " all par-
all the same
one are, in
of another."

of England
ch of Rome,
rous in the
the one un-
other. On
their nature)
al in autho-
the feelings
for it *is the*
and and the
Abbissments
rn expresses
the Church
Head—are
n the same
ne establish-
iscopacy in
, and only
ed to deny,

They are
the Church
that *as the*
co-ordinate
North and
cotland had
so, I would
when you
ound winds
Rome was
phraseology)
Nova-Scotia
and

and New-Brunswick, and at some future day the Church of Scotland may become the Church of England. If you had said that English Episcopacy is established in these Colonies, and that the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge supports a few Missionaries under the name of Rectors, you would have been nearer the truth. I do not mention this as a reproach for no associations of dislike or prejudice arise in my mind at the mention of the epithet Missionary. It is correct in point of etymology, and it imports an honourable vocation,

But what is the precise meaning of the terms *Church* and *Kirk*, which Antipathy and Jealousy compel you to place in absolute contradistinction to each other? It is well known that the Church of Rome has all along maintained herself to be exclusively *The Church*, and the Pope to be the Universal Monarch of the Universal Church. This language is retained by you and many High Church dogmatists of England who are not quite purified from Popish errors. According to Dr. Johnson, the word *Church* has the following significations, "The collective body of Christians. The body of Christians adhering to one particular form of worship. The place which Christians consecrate to the worship of God." These different meanings of the term are sanctioned by the authorities of Hooker, Watts, and Shakespeare. Johnson Grant, M.A. of St. Johns College, Oxford, who is as faithful to Episcopacy as you and Dr. Daubeney, gives us the following account of it. "To the word "*Church*" various significations have, in Scripture, as well as in common discourse, been attached. In its more defined sense it denotes, either the faithful of one family, assembled for religious purposes, with their friends; as we read of the Church in the house of Nymphas, of Aquila, of Philemon (Coloss. iv. 15. Rom. xvi. 5. Philem. 2) or the faithful of a whole province, as Paul writes to the Church of the Thessalonians (2d Thess. i. 1.) as our Articles mention the Churches of Jerusalem, Alexandria and Rome; or as our customary phraseology speaks of the Church of England. But the term *Church* frequently occurs,