2.8 You said a minute ago that he took down your statement?

A.8 Not right then sir.

Q.9 Did L/Opl Mansell question you at any other time?

A.9 No sir.

Q.10 He only questioned you once?

A.10 Yes sir. Q.11 Can you definitely say that you were cautioned before or not prior to the questioning by L/Cpl Mansell?

A.11 No I was not sir.

In the epinion of the court and the judge advocate it is not necessary to comply with the provisions of R.P. 53(b)

### THE WITNESS RETIRES

# L/Cpl Mansell is recalled and questioned by the court

2.11 L/Cpl Mansell at the time when you first met the accused for the first time when you put this question to him at the Columbia house before that had you been talking to Pte Gaunt?

Q. 12 It was from that conversation that you went and met the accused?

Q.13 At that time when you were talking to the accused and you put this question to his had you it in your mind you were going to place him under arrest?

2.14 As the result of the answer the accused made to your question what ald you do then?

A.14 I apprehended him sir.

Q.15 You arrested him?
A.15 Yes sir.
Q.36 Where did you take him? A.16 To the central lock up, Steel Cross Lane.

In the opinion of the court and the judge advocate it is not necessary to comply with the provisions of R.P. 83(b)

#### THE WITNESS WITHDRAWS

# The Judge Advocate advises the court

Mr. President and Gentlemen, I want to give you the law dealing with the admission of the statement which is alleged to have been made by the accused to L/Gpl Mansell, and I wish to point this out, that if a statement has been obtained by a person in authority, then the Prosecution must satisfy the sourt that it was not in fact induced by Prosecution must satisfy the sourt that it was not in fact induced by Prosecution must satisfy the sourt that it was not in fact induced by Prosecution must satisfy the sourt that it was not in fact induced by correct of prejudice or hope of advantage. I also want to point out to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice officer is endeavouring to discover to you that whenever a pelice of advantage. I also want to peint out the person of your thank the pelice of your that it was not in fact in due to you that the pelice of your thank the pelice of your that the pelice of your thank the pelice of your that it was not in fact in due to your that it was not in fact in due to your that you then you they have the pelice of your that you they have the pelice of your thank the pelice of your that you they have the your thank they have the your thank they have the your thank they have they have they have they have they hav

The court is closed.

The court is re-opened

## L/Cpl Mancell is recalled and questioned by the court

Arifalbetamenthe material fribative tages the expension and the expension of the first question you asked?

A.17 What was the nature of the first question you asked?

A.17 Asked him if he was the man who had stolen the value.

A.18 Then what happened, did he reply?

A.18 He replied.

G.19 He other serremention between you?

A.19 He saw, when he replied I cautioned him sir.

The court rules that the vernal convergation which took place serves L/Opl Kansull and the accused is not admissable.