In my report to you of 22 " Pototo , 1887, I stated that "the "validity of this front (M. Byrnes) " is, I believe, contested by the " Dominion foverment, and is now " under adjudication by the Supreme "Court of Canada." I wish to explain that at that time a case was pending before the Courts at Ottawa, viz: The Queen V. Farwell, in regard to the title to certain land at Farwell, within the Railway belt; and judgment civen in favor of the Crown; this Accision it was believed would forem the validity of Mr Byrnes claim, and all others within the Railway belt, but I am not in a position to say how far this view can be upheld. The case above referred to, viz. The ducen on the information " of the Attorney General of Canada " Arthur Stanhope Farwell

Indian Affairs. (RG 10, Volume 7791, File 27164-2)